

Actions of Amenable Equivalence Relations on CAT(0) Fields

Martin ANDEREGG and Philippe P. A. HENRY

Abstract

After a short introduction to the general notion of Borel fields of metric spaces we introduce the notion of the action of an equivalence relation on such fields. Then, we specify the study to the Borel fields of proper CAT(0) spaces and we obtain a rigidity result for the action of an amenable equivalence relation on a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces. This main theorem is inspired by the result obtained by ADAMS and BALLMANN regarding the action of an amenable group on a proper CAT(0) space.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Overview of the Results	2
1.2	Basic Definitions and Notations	3
2	Borel Fields of Metric Spaces	4
2.1	Definitions and First Results	4
2.2	Equivalence Classes	8
2.3	Borel Subfields of Open Subsets	8
2.4	Borel Subfields of Closed Subsets	8
2.5	Borel Fields of Proper Metric Spaces	10
3	Borel fields of CAT(0) spaces	12
3.1	Basic Properties	12
3.2	Limit Sets at Infinity	17
3.3	Adams–Ballmann Decomposition	18
4	Actions of Equivalence Relations	21
4.1	Definition	21
4.2	Basic Properties	21
4.3	Amenability	23
5	Proof of the Main Theorem	26
5.1	Fields of Convex Sets and Invariant Sections at Infinity	26
5.2	$\mathcal{R}$ -Quasi-Invariant Sections	27
5.3	Final Proof	29
6	Concluding Remarks	30

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview of the Results

One of the first link between amenability and negative curvature is certainly the result of AVEZ [Av70] which states that a compact Riemannian manifold with nonpositive sectional curvature is flat if and only if its fundamental group is of polynomial growth. The amenability here is implicit and it was GROMOV [Gro81] who pointed out that in this case the growth of the fundamental group is polynomial if and only if it is an amenable group. After several generalizations obtained by ZIMMER [Zim83], BURGER and SCHROEDER [BS87], ADAMS and BALLMANN proved the following theorem :

Theorem ([AB98]). *Let X be a proper CAT(0) space. If $G \subseteq \text{Isom}(X)$ is an amenable group, then at least one of the following two assertions holds.*

- (i) *There exists $\xi \in \partial X$ which is fixed by G .*
- (ii) *The space X contains a G -invariant flat¹.*

It's interesting for our work to mention that in his article ZIMMER also proved another result :

Theorem ([Zim83]). *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian measurable foliation with transversally (i. e. holonomy) invariant measure and finite total volume. Assume that almost every leaf is a complete simply connected manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature. If $\mathcal{F}$ is amenable, then almost every leaf is flat.*

Without getting in all details, a Riemannian measurable foliation has to be understood as an equivalence relation on a measure space such that each equivalence class (leaf) is a smooth manifold endowed with a Riemannian structure that varies in a Borel way. Amenability of the foliation is defined as the amenability of the induced relation on a transversal (a Borel subset of the probability space that meets almost every leaf only countably many times).

In this paper we study an object close to the one of Riemannian measurable foliation in the context of CAT(0) spaces (or more generally of metric spaces), namely a Borel field of metric spaces. Suppose that a Borel space Ω is given and that to each ω we assign a metric space X_ω . The definition sets what it means for such an assignment to be Borel. It has been studied by many authors (see for example [Cas67], [Him75], [DAP76] or [CV77]), often in the particular case when all the X_ω are a subspace of a given separable metric space X . This notion seems to be the natural one to define the action of an equivalence relation (or more generally of a groupoid). By adapting the techniques of [AB98] to the context of equivalence relations and Borel fields of CAT(0) spaces we managed to prove the following theorem - see the sequel for a precise meaning of the terminology.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be an amenable ergodic Borel equivalence relation which quasi preserves the measure. Assume that $\mathcal{R}$ acts by isometries on a Borel field $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ of proper CAT(0) spaces of finite covering dimension. Then at least one of the following assertion is verified.*

- (i) *There exists an invariant Borel section of points at infinity $[\xi_\bullet] \in L(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.*
- (ii) *There exists an invariant Borel subfield $(\Omega, A_\bullet)$ such that $A_\omega \simeq \mathbf{R}^n$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.*

¹A flat is a closed and convex subspace of X which is isometric to $\mathbf{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. In particular a point $x \in X$ is a flat of dimension zero.

This result is a generalization of the result by ADAMS and BALLMANN just as the one by ZIMMER generalized the one by AVEZ. Recently CAPRACE and LYTCHAK [CL10] proved a parallel version of ADAMS and BALLMANN's result by replacing the locally compactness assumption by the one of finite telescopic dimension. Inspired by this result and by the tools we developed, DUSCHESNE [Duc11] managed to prove a version of the last theorem for a Borel field of such spaces.

The work presented here was done during our PhD ([And10] & [Hen10]) under the supervision of Nicolas MONOD. Both authors would like to thank him warmly for his help, support and inspiration during this period.

1.2 Basic Definitions and Notations

Let (X, d) be a metric space. If $x \in X$ and r is a real number, we use the notation

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in X \mid d(y, x) < r\}, \quad \overline{B}(x, r) = \{y \mid d(x, y) \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad S(x, r) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) = r\}$$

to denote respectively the open, the closed ball and the sphere centered at x of radius r . Sometimes we'll also need to use the closure of the open ball that is written $\overline{B}(x, r)$.

A metric space is called *proper* if all closed balls are compact.

A map $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$ from an interval I of real numbers to the space X is a *geodesic* if it is isometric. We say that it is a *geodesic segment* if I is compact and a *geodesic ray* if $I = [0, \infty[$. The space X is *geodesic* if every pair of points can be joined by a geodesic.

For $x, y \in X$, we denote the image of a geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(a) = x$ and $\gamma(b) = y$ by $[x, y] \subseteq X$. A *geodesic triangle* with vertex $x, y, z \in X$ is $\Delta(x, y, z) := [x, y] \cup [x, z] \cup [y, z]$. A *comparison triangle* for $x, y, z \in X$ is an Euclidean triangle $\Delta(\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$ such that $d(x, y) = d(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$, $d(x, z) = d(\overline{x}, \overline{z})$, $d(y, z) = d(\overline{y}, \overline{z})$. It is unique up to isometry. If $q \in [x, y]$, we denote by $\overline{q}$ the point in $[\overline{x}, \overline{y}]$ such that $d(x, q) = d(\overline{x}, \overline{q})$.

Definition 1.2. *The space X is CAT(0) if for every geodesic triangle $\Delta(x, y, z)$ and every point $q \in [x, y]$ the following inequality holds*

$$d(x, q) \leq d(\overline{x}, \overline{q}).$$

The general background reference concerning CAT(0) spaces is [BH99] (see also [Bal95]). We'll introduce the various objects and definitions associated to CAT(0) spaces that we need - like the boundary, the projection on a convex subspace, the angles, etc. - in the section 3 where we're going to prove that these notions behave "well" in the context of Borel fields of CAT(0) spaces.

Beside CAT(0) spaces, other basic objects that we will consider in this paper are *Borel equivalence relations*. By a *Borel space* we mean a set Ω equipped with a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and we denote it by $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$. If Ω is a completely metrizable separable topological space and $\mathcal{A}$ is the σ -algebra generated by the open subsets, then $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ is called a *standard Borel space*. A theorem of KURATOWSKI states that such spaces are all Borel isomorphic provided they're uncountable (see e.g. [Kec95]). A standard Borel space together with a probability measure is called a *standard probability space*.

Definition 1.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a standard Borel space. A Borel equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a Borel subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ (where Ω^2 is endowed with the product σ -algebra) which satisfies the following conditions.*

- (i) *For every $\omega \in \Omega$, the set $\mathcal{R}[\omega] := \{\omega' \in \Omega \mid (\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}\}$ called the class of ω is finite or countably infinite,*
- (ii) *The set $\mathcal{R}$ contains the diagonal $\Delta_\Omega := \{(\omega, \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$, is symmetric in the sense that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{-1}$ (if for $S \subseteq \Omega^2$ we define $S^{-1} := \{(\omega', \omega) \mid (\omega, \omega') \in S\}$) and satisfies the following transitivity property : if $(\omega, \omega'), (\omega', \omega'') \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(\omega, \omega'') \in \mathcal{R}$.*

Standard references for equivalence relations are [DJK94], [FM77a], [FM77b], [JKL02], [Kan08] or [KM04].

For a Borel subset $A \subseteq \Omega$, we note $\mathcal{R}[A] := \cup_{\omega \in A} \mathcal{R}[\omega]$ the *saturation of A* which is a Borel set and we say that A is *invariant* if $\mathcal{R}[A] = A$. If a countable group G acts in a Borel way on Ω , then its action defines naturally the Borel equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_G$ where $(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}_G$ if and only if there exists $g \in G$ such that $g\omega = \omega'$. Reciprocally a now classical result due to FELDMAN and MOORE states that each Borel equivalence relation may be obtained by such an action [FM77a].

If $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is now a standard probability space, we say that $\mathcal{R}$ *quasi preserves the measure* μ if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ we have $\mu(\mathcal{R}[A]) = 0$. This is equivalent to the requirement that for each group G such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_G$ the image measures $g_*(\mu)$ where $g \in G$, are equivalent to μ (i.e. the measure $g_*(\mu)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and conversely). In case where the measure μ is invariant by G we say that $\mathcal{R}$ *preserves the measure*. The relation $\mathcal{R}$ is *ergodic* if each Borel saturated set is such that $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) = 1$.

2 Borel Fields of Metric Spaces

2.1 Definitions and First Results

A *field of metric spaces* on a set Ω is a family of metric spaces $\{(X_\omega, d_\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ indexed by the elements of Ω . The set Ω is called the *base* of the field and we denote the field by $(\Omega, (X_\bullet, d_\bullet))$ or $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ or just $X_\bullet$ when the base is implicit. A *section* of the field is the choice of an element of X_ω for each $\omega \in \Omega$, so it can be thought as an element of the product $\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} X_\omega$. We write a section $x_\bullet$ and for each $\omega \in \Omega$ x_ω is used to denote the given element of X_ω . We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ the set of all sections of the field $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Given two sections $x_\bullet, y_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ we introduce the following *distance function*

$$\begin{aligned} d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet) : \quad \Omega &\mapsto [0, \infty[\\ \omega &\mapsto d_\omega(x_\omega, y_\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ is a Borel space.

Definition 2.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a field of metric spaces on Ω . A *Borel structure* on $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is a subset $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ such that

- (i) (*Compatibility*) For all $x_\bullet, y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ the function $d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)$ is Borel.
- (ii) (*Maximality*) If $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is such that $d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)$ is Borel for all $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, then $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.
- (iii) (*Separability*) There exists a countable family $\mathcal{D} := \{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ such that $\overline{\{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}} = X_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Sometimes we'll write $\mathcal{D}_\omega := \{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}$.

If there exists such a $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, we say that $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is a *Borel field of metric spaces* and $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is called the *Borel structure of the field*. The elements of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ are called the *Borel sections*. A set $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying the condition (iii) is called a *fundamental family of the Borel structure* $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.

Remarks 2.2.

- (1) Observe that the Condition 2.1 (iii) forces all the metric spaces X_ω to be separable.
- (2) It will follow from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 that if a field is *trivial*, i.e. all the X_ω are the same separable metric space X , then the set of all Borel functions from Ω to X is naturally a Borel structure

on this field. This observation should reinforce the intuition of thinking about the Borel sections as a replacement of the Borel functions which cannot be defined when the field is not trivial.

(3) If $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ is a Borel subset, then $\mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet) := \{(x_\bullet|_{\Omega'}) \mid x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)\}$ is a Borel structure on the field $(\Omega', X_\bullet)$, where $x_\bullet|_{\Omega'}$ denotes the section of $\mathcal{S}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$ obtained by restricting the section $x_\bullet$ to the subset Ω' .

(4) Borel fields of metric spaces can also be presented as bundles (see e.g. [DAP76]).

We now describe two constructions that we will use to give a useful reformulation of the maximality condition of the Definition 2.1. Let $\{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ such that $\{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a converging sequence in X_ω for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then we can define a new section $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ by $x_\omega := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_\omega^n$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. This section is called the *pointwise limit* of the sequence $\{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and it is written $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_\bullet^n$. Let $\{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $\Omega = \sqcup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n$ be a countable Borel partition of Ω . Define a new section $x_\bullet$ by setting $x_\bullet|_{\Omega_n} := x_\bullet^n|_{\Omega_n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. This new section is called a *countable Borel gluing* of the sequence with respect to the partition. If the partition is finite, we call the section a *finite Borel gluing*.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a field of metric spaces. Suppose that the set $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is such that the conditions (i) and (iii) of the Definition 2.1 are satisfied. Then the condition (ii) of the same definition is equivalent to*

(ii)' $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is closed under pointwise limits and countable Borel gluings,

or to

(ii)'' $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is closed under pointwise limits and finite Borel gluings.

Proof. We will prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)' $\Rightarrow$ (ii)'' $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)'] This assertion follows easily by applying to the distance functions the facts that a limit of a pointwise converging sequence of Borel functions is still Borel and that a countable Borel gluing of Borel functions is again a Borel function.

[(ii)'' $\Rightarrow$ (ii)'] Assume that $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is the gluing of the sequence $\{y_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ relatively to the decomposition $\Omega = \sqcup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n$. For all $n \geq 1$ we introduce the section $\tilde{y}_\bullet^n$ defined by

$$\tilde{y}_\bullet^n|_{\Omega_j} := \begin{cases} y_\bullet^j|_{\Omega_j} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ y_\bullet^1|_{\Omega_j} & \text{if } j \geq n+1. \end{cases}$$

By hypothesis, $\tilde{y}_\bullet^n \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y}_\bullet^n = x_\bullet$ so that $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is closed under countable Borel gluing.

[(ii)' $\Rightarrow$ (ii)] Suppose that $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is such that $d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)$ is Borel for all $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Fix $\mathcal{D} := \{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ a fundamental family and define for all $k \geq 1$ a function $n_\bullet^k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$n_\omega^k := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid d_\omega(x_\omega^n, y_\omega) \leq 1/k\}.$$

Those functions are well defined because $\mathcal{D}_\omega$ is dense and Borel because

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid n_\omega^k \leq N\} = \cup_{j=1}^N (d_\bullet(x_\bullet^j, y_\bullet))^{-1}([0, 1/k]) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{for all } N \geq 1.$$

For all $k \geq 1$, we can define a section $x_\bullet^{n_\bullet^k} \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ by gluing the sequence $\{x_\bullet^n\}$ in this way :

$$x_\bullet^{n_\bullet^k}|_{(n_\bullet^k)^{-1}(\{j\})} := x_\bullet^j|_{(n_\bullet^k)^{-1}(\{j\})} \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

By hypothesis and construction $\{x_\bullet^{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_\bullet^{n_k} = y_\bullet$, so we can conclude that $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. ■

The following lemma gives two characterizations of the Borel sections by knowing only a fundamental family.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel set, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of metric spaces of Borel structure $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be a fundamental family. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet) &= \{y_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \mid d_\bullet(y_\bullet, z_\bullet) \text{ is Borel for every } z_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}\} \\ &= \{y_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \mid y_\bullet \text{ is a pointwise limit of countable Borel gluings of elements of } \mathcal{D}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let's prove the first equality. The inclusion $[\subseteq]$ is obvious. For the reverse, suppose that $y_\bullet$ is in the right-hand set. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a fundamental family the equality

$$d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet) = \sup_{z_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}} |d_\bullet(x_\bullet, z_\bullet) - d_\bullet(z_\bullet, y_\bullet)|$$

holds for every $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Therefore $d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)$ is a Borel function and therefore $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Note that the second equality was already verified in the proof of the Lemma 2.3. ■

Examples 2.5.

(1) As already said a trivial field is a natural example of a Borel field of metric spaces. It is important to keep in mind that, even in the trivial case, many different Borel structures may exist on the same field.

(2) A standard bundle (in the sense of GABORIAU and ALVAREZ, see e.g. [Alv08]) is a standard Borel space X with a Borel projection $\pi : X \rightarrow \Omega$ such that fibers are countable. The field $\{(\pi^{-1}(\omega), d_\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ where d_ω is the discrete distance is a Borel field when endowed with the structure $\{f_\bullet : \Omega \rightarrow X \mid f_\bullet \text{ is Borel and } \pi(f_\omega) = \omega\}$. (A selection theorem can be used to construct a fundamental family, see [Alv08].)

(3) A Borel equivalence relation on Ω is a particular example of a standard Bundle. This example can be turned into a more interesting one if the relation is graphed, so that we can consider on each equivalence class the metric induced by the graph structure instead of the discrete one (see e.g. [Gab00] for a definition of a graphed equivalence relation).

(4) A Borel field of Hilbert space as defined in [Dix69] or a Borel field of Banach spaces as defined in [AR00] are examples of Borel field of metric spaces.

(5) Suppose that there exists a countable family $\mathcal{D} = \{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ such that $d_\bullet(x_\bullet^n, x_\bullet^m)$ is Borel for every $n, m \geq 1$ and $\{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is dense in X_ω for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Then it's easy to adapt the proof of Lemma 2.4 to show that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Omega, X_\bullet) := \{y_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \mid d_\bullet(x_\bullet, z_\bullet) \text{ is Borel for every } z_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is a Borel structure on $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.

Definition 2.6. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ be two Borel fields of respective Borel structures $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$.*

A morphism between this two Borel fields is a family of applications $\varphi_\bullet = \{\varphi_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow Y_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ such that for all $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, the section defined by $\varphi_\bullet(x_\bullet) : \omega \mapsto \varphi_\omega(x_\omega)$ is in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$. Sometimes we will simply write $\varphi_\bullet : (\Omega, Y_\bullet) \rightarrow (\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ or $\varphi_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(X_\bullet, Y_\bullet))$ the set of morphisms from $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ to $(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$.

A morphism $\varphi_\bullet$ is called continuous (isometric, injective, surjective, bijective) if φ_ω is continuous (isometric, injective, surjective, bijective) for every $\omega \in \Omega$. We'll write $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet, Y_\bullet))$ for the set of continuous morphisms and $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{I}(X_\bullet, Y_\bullet))$ for the set of isometric ones.

A continuous morphism $\varphi_\bullet \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet, Y_\bullet))$ is invertible if φ_ω is an homeomorphism for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and if $\varphi_\bullet^{-1}$ (defined in the obvious way) is also a morphism.

Remarks 2.7.

(1) Obviously we could define a morphism for fields with different bases, but it won't be relevant in our context.

(2) To verify that a family of continuous applications $\{\varphi_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow Y_\omega\}$ is a morphism, it's enough to check that $\varphi_\bullet(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ for a fundamental family $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Indeed it's an easy consequence of the Lemma 2.4. In the same spirit, we can verify that $\varphi_\bullet \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet, Y_\bullet))$ is invertible if and only if φ_ω is an homeomorphism for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Suppose now that we choose for every $\omega \in \Omega$ a subset (possibly empty) A_ω of X_ω . Such a choice is called a *subfield* and we would like to define when it is Borel. A natural way of doing so is to suppose that $\Omega' := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid A_\omega \neq \emptyset\}$ is a Borel subset of Ω and that $\mathcal{L}(\Omega', A_\bullet) := \mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet) \cap \mathcal{S}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$ is a Borel structure on the field² $(\Omega', A_\bullet)$. As $\mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet) \cap \mathcal{S}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$ is closed under Borel gluings and pointwise limits³, and as condition (i) is obviously satisfied, Lemma 2.3 naturally leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.8. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ a Borel field of metric spaces. A subfield $(\Omega, A_\bullet)$ is called Borel if

(i) $\Omega' := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid A_\omega \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) There exists a countable family of sections $\mathcal{D}' = \{y_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$ such that $A_\omega \subseteq \overline{\{y_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}}$ for every $\omega \in \Omega'$.

The set Ω' is called the *base of the subfield* and $\mathcal{D}'$ is called a *fundamental family of the subfield*.

Remarks 2.9.

(1) $(\Omega', A_\bullet)$ is a Borel field of metric spaces.

(2) In the condition (ii) of the previous definition, the closure $\overline{\{y_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}}$ is taken in X_ω , that's why we used $\subseteq$ and not an equality. An obvious way to construct a Borel subfield is to take a countable family $\{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and to choose a subfield $A_\bullet$ such that $\{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq A_\omega \subseteq \overline{\{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}}$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. In particular a Borel section is an obvious example of a Borel subfield.

(3) The previous construction can be generalized in the following way : if $A_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield of $X_\bullet$ and $B_\bullet$ is a subfield such that $A_\omega \subseteq B_\omega \subseteq \overline{A_\omega}$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$, then $B_\bullet$ is also a Borel subfield of $X_\bullet$.

(4) Suppose that $\{A_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a family of Borel subfields. Then the subfield $A_\bullet := \cup_{n \geq 1} A_\bullet^n$, defined by $A_\omega := \cup_{n \geq 1} A_\omega^n$, is also a Borel subfield. This can be shown in three steps. First we observe that the base Ω' of $A_\bullet$ is $\cup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n \in \mathcal{A}$ where Ω_n denotes the base of $A_\bullet^n$. Then we construct a section $z_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$: pick sections $z_\bullet^1 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_1, A_\bullet^1)$, $z_\bullet^2 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1, A_\bullet^2)$, $z_\bullet^3 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_3 \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2), A_\bullet^3)$ and so on; gluing them together gives the desired section. Finally we choose, for each $n \geq 1$, a fundamental family $\mathcal{D}^n$ of $A_\bullet^n$ and we modify each of its elements by gluing it with $z_\bullet|_{\Omega' \setminus \Omega_n}$ to obtain a subset $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^n$ of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$. By construction $\mathcal{D}' := \cup_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^n$ is a fundamental family of $A_\bullet$.

²Observe that $(\Omega, A_\bullet)$ is not a field of metric spaces !

³In this context we think about $(\Omega', A_\bullet)$ as a field, so that we are only interested in pointwise limits that are in $\mathcal{S}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$. It doesn't mean that the set we consider is closed in $\mathcal{S}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$ or in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$

(5) Observe the following obvious property of transitivity for Borel subfields. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of metric spaces, $(\Omega, A_\bullet)$ be a Borel subfield of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ of base Ω' and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a subfield of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ such that $B_\omega \subseteq A_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ is a Borel subfield of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ if and only if $(\Omega', B_\bullet)$ is a Borel subfield of $(\Omega', A_\bullet)$.

2.2 Equivalence Classes

Suppose now that a Borel probability measure μ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ is given. In this context we can define the equivalence relation of equality almost everywhere on the set of Borel sections and on the set of Borel subfields. Two sections (or two Borel subfields) are equal almost everywhere if the set where they differ is of measure 0. We write $x_\bullet =_{\mu\text{-a.e.}} y_\bullet$ (or $A_\bullet =_{\mu\text{-a.e.}} B_\bullet$) when two sections are equivalent and we denote by $[x_\bullet]$ (or $[A_\bullet]$) the equivalence class of $x_\bullet$ (respectively of $A_\bullet$). We write $L(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ for the set of equivalence classes of Borel sections.

2.3 Borel Subfields of Open Subsets

In the case of a subfield such that all the subsets are open, there is an easy sufficient criterion to verify that it is Borel.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of metric spaces and $U_\bullet$ be a subfield such that U_ω is open for every $\omega \in \Omega$. If $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid x_\omega \in U_\omega\} \in \mathcal{A}$ is Borel for all sections $x_\bullet$ in a fundamental family of the Borel structure $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, then $U_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{D} := \{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ a fundamental family and $\Omega_n := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid x_\omega^n \in U_\omega\} \in \mathcal{A}$. By density of $\{x_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and since U_ω is open for all $\omega \in \Omega$, we have that $\Omega' := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid U_\omega \neq \emptyset\} = \cup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n$ is Borel. For all $n \geq 1$, we can define a Borel subfield $(\Omega, A_\bullet^n)$ by

$$A_\omega^n := \begin{cases} \{x_\omega^n\} & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega_n \\ \emptyset & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then by construction $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_\bullet^n \subseteq U_\bullet \subseteq \overline{\cup_{n \geq 1} A_\bullet^n}$ and so $U_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield by the Remarks 2.9 (3) and (4). ■

Example 2.11. If $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $r_\bullet$ is a Borel non-negative function, then the subfield of open balls $B(x_\bullet, r_\bullet)$ defined by assigning to each ω the set $B(x_\omega, r_\omega)$ is Borel. In fact if $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ then

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid y_\omega \in B(x_\omega, r_\omega)\} = (d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet))^{-1}([0, r_\bullet]) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The field $\overline{B(x_\bullet, r_\bullet)}$ of the closure of the open balls is also Borel because of the Remark 2.9 (3).

2.4 Borel Subfields of Closed Subsets

If every metric space X_ω is complete, then there is a sufficient and necessary criterion for a subfield of closed subsets to be Borel. We choose the convention that the distance from a point to the empty set is infinite.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of complete metric spaces and $F_\bullet$ be a subfield of closed subsets. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $F_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield,

(ii) $d_*(x_*, F_*) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, $\omega \mapsto d_\omega(x_\omega, F_\omega)$ is a Borel map for every $x_* \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_*)$,

(iii) $d_*(x_*, F_*) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, $\omega \mapsto d_\omega(x_\omega, F_\omega)$ is a Borel map for every $x_* \in \mathcal{D}$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is a fundamental family of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_*)$.

On the proof. [(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)] Since the distance to a set is a continuous function, this assertion is a consequence of the Lemma 2.4.

The implication [(iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (i)] was proved in the particular case of trivial fields by CASTAING and VALADIER [CV77]. Notice that $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid F_\omega \neq \emptyset\} = (d_*(x_*, F_*))^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ for any $x_* \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_*)$ so that we can suppose without loss of generality that this set is equal to Ω . The trivialization theorem due to VALADIER (see [Val78] and the remark below), the implication [(ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii)], the completeness assumption and the property of transitivity of Borel subfields (cf. Remark 2.9 (5)) can therefore be combined to extend the result to the general case. ■

Remark 2.13. The trivialization theorem due to VALADIER states that for every Borel field of metric spaces (Ω, X_*) , there exists an isometric morphism $\varphi_* : (\Omega, X_*) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathbf{U})$ where $\mathbf{U}$ is the universal separable metric space constructed by URYSHON [Ury27]. In his paper VALADIER checks that the isometric embedding of a separable metric space X in $\mathbf{U}$ can be done in a Borel way.

Example 2.14. Suppose that every X_ω is geodesic. If x_* is a Borel section and $r_* : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is a Borel function, then the field of closed balls $\overline{B}(x_*, r_*)$ and the one of spheres $S(x_*, r_*)$ defined similarly as in the Example 2.11 are Borel. Indeed if $y_* \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_*)$, then

$$d_*(y_*, \overline{B}(x_*, r_*)) = [d_*(y_*, x_*) - r_*]^0 \text{ et } d_*(y_*, S(x_*, r_*)) = |d_*(y_*, x_*) - r_*|$$

where if $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, then

$$[\alpha]^0 = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

In the measure case, we can show that the set of equivalence classes of a Borel subfield of closed sets can be turned into a complete lattice (i.e. every subset has an *infimum* and a *supremum*) when it is endowed with the following order : if F_* and G_* are Borel subfields of closed sets, then $[F_*] \leq [G_*]$ if $F_\omega \subseteq G_\omega$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

We'll need the following proposition which can be deduced from [Him75] (Theorem 3.5 and the explanation at the beginning of the Section 4) as the Proposition 2.12 has been deduced from [CV77].

Proposition 2.15. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and X_* be a Borel field of complete metric spaces. Let $\{F_*^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a family of Borel subfields of closed subsets. Then there exists a Borel subset Ω_0 of measure one such that if $\cap_{n \geq 1} F_*^n$ is defined by assigning to each ω the set $(\cap_{n \geq 1} F_\omega^n) := \cap_{n \geq 1} F_\omega^n$, then $(\Omega_0, \cap_{n \geq 1} F_*^n)$ is a Borel subfield of (Ω_0, X_*) .

Theorem 2.16. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and let X_* be a Borel field of complete metric spaces. Then the set of equivalence classes of Borel subfield of closed subsets with the order of inclusion almost everywhere is a complete lattice.

More precisely, if $\{[F_*^\beta]\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ is a family of equivalence classes of Borel subfields of closed subsets, then there exists a sequence of indices $\{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$[\cap_{n \geq 1} F_*^{\beta_n}] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{[\cup_{n \geq 1} F_*^{\beta_n}]}$$

are respectively the infimum and the supremum of the family $\{[F_*^\beta]\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$.

Before proving this theorem, we recall the notion of an essential supremum of a family of Borel functions, whose existence is guaranteed by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.17 ([Doo94], p. 71). *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space with μ σ -finite. Let $\{f_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of Borel functions. Then there exists a Borel function $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ such that*

- (i) *For all $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $g(\omega) \geq f_i(\omega)$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.*
- (ii) *If $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ is a Borel function satisfying (i), then $h(\omega) \geq g(\omega)$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.*

The function g is uniquely determined up to null sets and all functions in its class satisfy (i) and (ii). Moreover there exists a countable family of elements of $\mathcal{I}$ such that its supremum satisfies (i) and (ii).

We call g an essential supremum of the family $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and we write $g = \sup_{\text{ess } i \in \mathcal{I}} \{f_i\}$.

Proof of the Theorem 2.16. First observe the following criterion for a closed subset to be included in another one. If X is a metric space, $D \subseteq X$ is a dense subset and $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$ are two closed subsets, then

$$F_2 \subseteq F_1 \iff d(x, F_2) \geq d(x, F_1) \text{ for all } x \in D. \quad (1)$$

Now let $\{F_\bullet^\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ be a family of Borel subfields of closed subsets and fix $\mathcal{D} = \{x_\bullet^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ a fundamental family of the Borel structure $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. By Theorem 2.17 there exists, for each $i \geq 1$, a sequence of indices $\{\beta_n^i\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^{\beta_n^i})$ is an essential supremum of the family of functions $\{d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^\beta)\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$. If we set $\mathcal{B}' := \{\beta_n^i\}_{n, i \geq 1}$ then we can simultaneously construct an essential supremum for each family $\{d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^\beta)\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ by taking $\sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}'} d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^\beta)$. By Proposition 2.15, there exists a Borel subfield $F_\bullet$ of closed subsets such that $F_\omega = \cap_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}'} F_\omega^\beta$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, and we'll show that it is the infimum of the family $\{F_\bullet^\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$. Let $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. Then for every $i \geq 1$ we have

$$d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet) =_{a.e.} d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, \cap_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}'} F_\bullet^\beta) \stackrel{(1)}{\geq} \sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}'} d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^\beta) \geq_{a.e.} d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^{\beta_0}),$$

which shows, by the preliminary observation, that $F_\omega \subseteq F_\omega^{\beta_0}$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. Thus $[F_\bullet]$ is a minorant and it's obvious from its definition that it is the biggest one.

The same argument can be done for the supremum by considering the essential infimum of the families $\{d_\bullet(x_\bullet^i, F_\bullet^\beta)\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ realized as the infimum taken over a subset $\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Then $[\cup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}''} F_\bullet^\beta]$ will be the supremum. To have the exact formulation of the conclusion of the theorem we only have to order the countable set $\mathcal{B}' \cup \mathcal{B}'' = \{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. ■

2.5 Borel Fields of Proper Metric Spaces

In all this section $X_\bullet$ will denote a Borel field of proper metric spaces. We'll show that the field assigning to each ω the space of continuous functions on X_ω is a Borel field of metric spaces. To do so, we'll need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.18. *Let X be a proper metric space and x_0 a fixed base point. Then the following function is a metric on $\mathcal{C}(X)$ that induced the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \mathcal{C}(X) \times \mathcal{C}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ (f, g) &\mapsto \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid \sup_{x \in B(x_0, 1/\varepsilon)} |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $D \subseteq X$ is a dense countable subset, then the $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra generated by the functions $\{d_x\}_{x \in D}$ and the constant function $\mathbf{1}$ is a dense countable subset of $\mathcal{C}(x)$.

On the proof. The proof of the first part is an easy exercise. The second part can be proven by applying for each $R \geq 1$ the Stone-Weierstrass theorem (see e.g. [Gil87, p. 198]) to the space $X \cap B(x_0, R)$ and the set of functions $\{d_x\}_{x \in \overline{B(x_0, R)} \cap D}$. ■

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $X_\bullet$ a Borel field of proper metric spaces. We fix $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and we consider the family of metrics $\delta_\bullet = \{\delta_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ on $\mathcal{C}(X_\bullet) = \{\mathcal{C}(X_\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ given by the Lemma 2.18.

Theorem 2.19. *The set*

$$\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet)) := \{f_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet)) \mid f_\bullet(x_\bullet) \text{ is Borel for every } x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)\}$$

is a Borel structure on $(\mathcal{C}(X_\bullet), \delta_\bullet)$. Moreover, the subfield $\mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet)$ where

$$\mathcal{C}_0(X_\omega) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(X_\omega) \mid f(x_\omega^0) = 0\}$$

is Borel.

Proof. This set is clearly closed under countable Borel gluings and pointwise limits, so by Lemma 2.3 we only have to check the points (i) and (iii) of the Definition 2.1.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\mathcal{D}$ a fundamental family of the Borel subfield $B(x_\bullet^0, 1/\varepsilon)$ (cf. Example 2.11). Pick $f_\bullet, g_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$. Then

$$\delta_\omega(f_\omega, g_\omega) \leq \varepsilon \iff \sup_{x_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}} |f_\omega(x_\omega) - g_\omega(x_\omega)| \leq \varepsilon$$

and so $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ is compatible with the family of metrics $\delta_\bullet$ and the point (i) of the definition is verified.

Now observe that $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ is naturally an algebra : if $f_\bullet, g_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, we can define

$$(f_\bullet g_\bullet)_\omega := f_\omega g_\omega, \quad (f_\bullet + g_\bullet)_\omega = f_\omega + g_\omega \quad \text{et} \quad (\lambda f_\bullet)_\omega := \lambda f_\omega.$$

It's clear from its definition that the subset $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$. We now fix $\mathcal{D} = \{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ a fundamental family of the Borel field $X_\bullet$ and we define the following elements of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d_{x_\bullet^n} : \Omega & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet) \\ \omega & \mapsto & d_{x_\omega^n} : X_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ & & x \mapsto d_\omega(x_\omega^n, x) \end{array} \quad \text{et} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1}_\bullet : \Omega & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet) \\ \omega & \mapsto & \mathbf{1}_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ & & x \mapsto 1 \end{array}$$

We write $\mathcal{A}_\mathbf{Q}$ the countable $\mathbf{Q}$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ generated by $\{d_{x_\bullet}\}_{x_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}} \cup \{\mathbf{1}_\bullet\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_\mathbf{Q}$ is contained in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ because this last set is an algebra that contains the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\mathbf{Q}$; moreover $\{f_\omega \mid f_\bullet \in \mathcal{A}_\mathbf{Q}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X_\omega)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ by Lemma 2.18 so that the Condition 2.1 (iii) is satisfied.

To prove that the subfield $\mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet)$ is Borel, it's enough to realize that if $\mathcal{D}$ is a fundamental family of the field $\mathcal{C}(X_\bullet)$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} := \{f_\bullet - f_\bullet(x_\bullet^0) \mid f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))\}$ is obviously a fundamental family of the subfield. ■

We'll show now that the intersection behaves better in proper spaces than in complete ones (see the Proposition 2.15).

Proposition 2.20. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and let $X_\bullet$ be a Borel field of proper metric spaces. Let $\{F_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a family of Borel subfield of closed sets. Then the subfield $\cap_{n \geq 1} F_\bullet^n$ is a Borel subfield.*

We'll need the following Lemma whose proof is straightforward.

Lemma 2.21. *Let X be a proper metric space. Then the following assertions are true.*

- (i) *Let F be a closed subset of X . Then for every $x \in X$ the distance $d(x, F)$ is realized.*
- (ii) *Let $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a decreasing sequences of closed subsets of X . Then for every $x \in X$ we have $d(x, \cap_{n \geq 1} F_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, F_n) = \sup_n d(x, F_n)$ where $d(x, \emptyset) = \infty$ by convention.*

Proof of Proposition 2.20. We'll make the proof in three steps.

(1) The Proposition 2.12 - applied twice - implies that the conclusion of the theorem is true in the particular case when $F_\omega^{n+1} \subseteq F_\omega^n$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 1$, since $d_\bullet(x_\bullet, \cap_{n \geq 1} F_\bullet^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\bullet(x_\bullet, F_\bullet^n)$ by Lemma 2.21.

(2) Let $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ and $a_\bullet, b_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$. Let $F_\bullet := f_\bullet^{-1}([a_\bullet, b_\bullet])$ be the subfield defined by $F_\omega = f_\omega^{-1}([a_\omega, b_\omega])$. We'll show that $F_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield. For every integer $n \geq 1$ we set $U_\bullet^n := f_\bullet^{-1}(]a_\bullet - 1/n, b_\bullet + 1/n[)$ for the subfield defined similar to $F_\bullet$. It's a Borel subfield by Lemma 2.10, because if $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, then

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid x_\omega \in U_\omega^n\} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid a_\omega - 1/n \leq f_\omega(x_\omega) \leq b_\omega + 1/n\}$$

and the latter is Borel by the definition of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$. By the Remark 2.9, $\overline{U_\bullet^n}$ is a Borel subfield, so that the sequence $\{\overline{U_\bullet^n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of Borel subfields of closed subsets such that $\cap_{n \geq 1} \overline{U_\bullet^n} = F_\bullet$ (this equality being satisfied because every f_ω is continuous). Thus $F_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield by the first step.

(3) We'll show now that if $F_\bullet$ and $G_\bullet$ are Borel subfields of closed subsets then so is $F_\bullet \cap G_\bullet$ (and the conclusion of the theorem will then follow by applying recursively this fact and by using step (1)). By the Proposition 2.12 $d_{F_\bullet}, d_{G_\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$, so that $F_\bullet \cap G_\bullet = (d_{F_\bullet} + d_{G_\bullet})^{-1}(0)$ is a Borel subfield by step (2). ■

3 Borel fields of CAT(0) spaces

3.1 Basic Properties

First recall some notation and terminology. A subset $C \subseteq X$ is *convex* if it contains any geodesic segment joining any two of its points. For such a closed convex subset in a complete CAT(0) space we denote by $\pi_C(x)$ the unique point which satisfies $d(x, \pi_C(x)) = d(x, C) := \inf_{y \in C} d(x, y)$ [BH99, II.2.4]. This is the *projection* of x on C and the *projection map* $\pi_C : X \rightarrow C$ does not increase distances. The *circumradius* of a non empty bounded set $A \subseteq X$ is $r(A) := \inf\{r > 0 \mid \exists x \in X, A \subseteq \overline{B}(x, r)\}$. This infimum is achieved and there exists a unique point $c_A \in X$ such that $A \subseteq \overline{B}(c_A, r(A))$ [BH99, II.2.7]. This point is called the *circumcenter* of A . Obviously, if $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is a field of metric spaces such that X_ω is a CAT(0) spaces for all $\omega \in \Omega$, we call it a *field of CAT(0) spaces*. MONOD was the first to consider such fields in [Mon06].

Definition 3.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces, $x_\bullet, y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be two sections and $(\Omega, C_\bullet)$ be a Borel subfield of non empty closed convex sets.*

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{x_\bullet, y_\bullet} : [0, 1] &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \\ t &\mapsto [\gamma_{x_\bullet, y_\bullet}(t) : \omega \mapsto \gamma_{x_\omega, y_\omega}(t)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{x_\omega, y_\omega} : [0, 1] \rightarrow X_\omega$ is the unique geodesic with constant speed such that $\gamma_{x_\omega, y_\omega}(0) = x_\omega$ and $\gamma_{x_\omega, y_\omega}(1) = y_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

We also define $\pi_{C_\bullet}(x_\bullet) \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ where $\pi_{C_\omega}(x_\omega)$ is the projection of x_ω on C_ω for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

We know that the field $\overline{B}(x_\bullet, r_\bullet)$ is Borel if $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $r_\bullet$ is a non negative Borel function. Since we have on one hand $\gamma_{x_\bullet, y_\bullet}(t) = \overline{B}(x_\bullet, t d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)) \cap \overline{B}(y_\bullet, (1-t) d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet))$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and on the other hand $\pi_{C_\bullet}(x_\bullet) = C_\bullet \cap \overline{B}(x_\bullet, d_\bullet(x_\bullet, C_\bullet))$, we conclude by using the Proposition 2.20 that the sections introduced in the Definition 3.1 are Borel. In the same spirit we can define a circumradius function and a circumcenter section whenever a Borel subfield of bounded sets is given.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a Borel subfield of bounded sets of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.*

(i) *The circumradius function $r(B_\bullet)$ is Borel.*

(ii) *The section of circumcenters is Borel, i.e. $c_{B_\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.*

Proof. (i) Recall that if $B \subseteq X$ is a bounded subset in a proper CAT(0) space, then we have the equality $r(B) = \inf_{x \in X} \{\sup_{y \in B} d(x, y)\}$. So define $f_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $f_\omega(x_\omega) = \sup_{y_\omega \in B_\omega} d(x_\omega, y_\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. We have $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ because if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ are fundamental families, then $f_\bullet(x_\bullet) = \sup_{y_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}'} d(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)$ for every $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}$. Consequently we deduce that the function $r(B_\bullet) = \inf f_\bullet = \inf_{x_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}} f_\bullet(x_\bullet)$ is Borel.

(ii) Observe that $c_{B_\bullet} = f_\bullet^{-1}(r(B_\bullet))$ is Borel (cf. proof of Proposition 2.20, step 2). ■

Other Borel functions appear naturally on Borel fields of CAT(0) spaces.

First recall that for CAT(0) spaces it is possible to define several notions of angles. The *comparison angle* at p between x, y denoted by $\overline{\angle}_p(x, y)$ is the corresponding angle in a comparison triangle. This allows us to define an infinitesimal notion of angle: if $p, x, y \in X$ and $c, c' : [0, b], [0, b'] \rightarrow X$ are two geodesic segments such that $c(0) = c'(0) = p$ and $c(b) = x, c'(b') = y$, then the *Alexandrov angle* at p between x and y is defining by $\angle_p(x, y) := \limsup_{t, t' \rightarrow 0} \overline{\angle}_p(c(t), c'(t'))$ where the CAT(0) hypothesis ensure the existence of this limit.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces.*

(i) *If $x_\bullet, y_\bullet, p_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ are such that $x_\omega \neq p_\omega \neq y_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then the comparison angle function $\angle_{p_\bullet}(x_\bullet, y_\bullet)$ is Borel.*

(ii) *If we replace in (i) the comparison angle by the Alexandrov angle the function obtained is also Borel.*

Proof. (i) This assertion follows directly from the law of cosines which can be used to write the angle in terms of the distances.

(ii) For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\Omega_n := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \min\{d_\omega(p_\omega, x_\omega), d_\omega(p_\omega, y_\omega)\} \geq \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and define two sections in $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ by

$$c_\bullet^n|_{\Omega_n} := \overline{B}(p_\bullet, 1/n) \cap \overline{B}(x_\bullet, d_\bullet(p_\bullet, x_\bullet) - 1/n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_\bullet^n|_{\Omega_n} := \overline{B}(p_\bullet, 1/n) \cap \overline{B}(y_\bullet, d_\bullet(p_\bullet, y_\bullet) - 1/n)$$

which are prolonged in an arbitrarily Borel way on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_n$. Since $x_\omega \neq p_\omega \neq y_\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ we have $\Omega = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \Omega_n$ and thus for every $\omega \in \Omega$ there exists $n_\omega \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $c_\omega^n = c_\omega(1/n)$ and $\tilde{c}_\omega^n = \tilde{c}_\omega(1/n)$ for all $n \geq n_\omega$, where $c_\omega : [0, d_\omega(p_\omega, x_\omega)] \rightarrow X_\omega$ (resp. $\tilde{c}_\omega : [0, d_\omega(p_\omega, y_\omega)] \rightarrow X_\omega$) is the geodesic from p_ω to x_ω (resp. y_ω). By [BH99, II.3.1] we have

$$\angle_{p_\bullet}(x_\bullet, y_\bullet) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \arcsin \left(\frac{n}{2} \cdot d_\bullet(c_\bullet^n, \tilde{c}_\bullet^n) \right)$$

and this shows that the function is Borel. ■

Recall [BH99, II.8] that if X is a proper CAT(0) space, the boundary at infinity ∂X can be defined as the set of equivalence classes of geodesic rays in X where two rays are equivalent (*asymptotic*) if they remain at bounded distance from each other. Often we write $c(\infty)$ for the equivalence class of the geodesic ray c and a typical point of ∂X is written ξ . Fixing a base point $x_0 \in X$ leads to a bijection between $\xi \in \partial X$ and the unique geodesic ray $c_{x_0, \xi}$ starting at x_0 and such that $c(\infty) = \xi$. This identification can be used to define the conic topology (which turns out to be independent of the choice of x_0) : $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ if $c_{x_0, \xi_n}(t) \rightarrow c_{x_0, \xi}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. An other equivalent construction uses the application $i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ defined by $x \mapsto d_x - d(x, x_0)$, where $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. In general for an arbitrary proper metric space this application is *not* a homeomorphism onto its image. But it is if the space is geodesic [Bal95]. It can be shown that ∂X is homeomorphic to $\overline{i(X)} \setminus i(X)$: to $\xi \in \partial X$ we can associate the Busemann function $b_{x_0, \xi} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $x \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(x, c_{x_0, \xi}(t)) - d(x_0, c_{x_0, \xi}(t))$. These functions satisfies

$$b_{z, \xi}(y) = b_{x, \xi}(y) - b_{x, \xi}(z) \quad \xi \in \partial X, x, y, z \in X. \quad (2)$$

For all $\omega \in \Omega$ we now define the application $i_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(X_\omega)$ by setting $x_\omega \mapsto d_{x_\omega} - d(x_\omega, x_\omega^0)$ where $x_\omega^0 \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is a fixed section. This will enable us to deal with the Borel structure on the fields of boundaries.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces and $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.*

- (i) *We have $i_\bullet \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet, \mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet)))$ and the subfields $i_\bullet(X_\bullet)$, $\overline{i_\bullet(X_\bullet)}$ are Borel - where $\mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet)$ is endowed with the Borel structure inherited from $(\mathcal{C}(X_\bullet), \delta_\bullet)$ (cf. Theorem 2.19).*
- (ii) *The field $\partial X_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield of closed sets of $\overline{i_\bullet(X_\bullet)}$.*

Proof. (i) Since $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ we observe that $i_\bullet(x_\bullet)(y_\bullet) = d_\bullet(x_\bullet, y_\bullet) - d_\bullet(x_\bullet, x_\bullet^0)$ is Borel for every $y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. So $i_\bullet(x_\bullet) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet))$ and $i_\bullet$ is a morphism which is obviously continuous. Consequently $i_\bullet(X_\bullet)$ is a Borel subfield of $\mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet)$ as well as $\overline{i_\bullet(X_\bullet)}$.

(ii) Observe that if X is a proper CAT(0) space and $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed base point we have the equality $\partial X = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\overline{i(X)} \setminus \overline{i(B(x_0, n))})$. We use this trick to show the assertion by using the Proposition 2.20. Since $\overline{i_\bullet(X_\bullet)} \setminus \overline{i_\bullet(B(x_\bullet^0, n))}$ is a Borel subfield of open sets of $\overline{i_\bullet(X_\bullet)}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we obtain that $\partial X_\bullet = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{i_\bullet(X_\bullet)} \setminus \overline{i_\bullet(B(x_\bullet^0, n))}$ is also Borel. ■

Remark 3.5. In particular, the Theorem 3.4 describes the sections of $\mathcal{L}(\Omega', \partial X_\bullet)$, where Ω' is the base of the subfield $\partial X_\bullet$ which is equal to $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X_\omega \text{ is unbounded}\}$. By definition of the Borel structure on $(\Omega', \mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet))$ the section $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega', \partial X_\bullet)$ is Borel if and only if the function $b_{x_\bullet^0, \xi_\bullet}(x_\bullet)$ is Borel for every $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$. Observe that this condition doesn't depend on the choice of $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$ because if $y_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$ we have $b_{y_\bullet^0, \xi_\bullet}(x_\bullet) = b_{x_\bullet^0, \xi_\bullet}(x_\bullet) - b_{x_\bullet^0, \xi_\bullet}(y_\bullet^0)$. Therefore $\xi_\bullet$ is Borel if and only if $b_{y_\bullet, \xi_\bullet}(x_\bullet)$ is Borel for every $x_\bullet, y_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega', X_\bullet)$.

The Borel structure on the field of boundaries is such that the natural sections and functions associated are Borel.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper unbounded CAT(0) spaces and two sections $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$. Define*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{x_\bullet, \xi_\bullet} : [0, \infty[&\rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \\ t &\mapsto c_{x_\bullet, \xi_\bullet}(t) : \omega \mapsto c_{x_\omega, \xi_\omega}(t). \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{x_\omega, \xi_\omega} : [0, \infty[\rightarrow X_\omega$ is the unique geodesic ray such that $c_{x_\omega, \xi_\omega}(0) = x_\omega$ and $c_{x_\omega, \xi_\omega}(\infty) = \xi_\omega$. Then we have $c_{x_\bullet, \xi_\bullet}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ for every $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a fundamental family. Since $i_\bullet(\mathcal{D})$ is also a fundamental family for the structure $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, i_\bullet(X_\bullet))$ each Borel section in this set is a pointwise limit of countable Borel gluings of elements of $i_\bullet(\mathcal{D})$ by Lemma 2.4. In particular there exists a sequence $\{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i_\bullet(x_\bullet^n) = \xi_\bullet$ and we might as well suppose that $d_\omega(x_\omega^n, x_\omega) \geq n$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Consequently we can define $\gamma_{x_\bullet, x_\bullet^n}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ at least for each $t \in [0, n]$. Now fix $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$. Since by [BH99, II.8.19] $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{x_\omega, x_\omega^n}(t) = c_{x_\omega, \xi_\omega}(t)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ we deduce $c_{x_\bullet, \xi_\bullet}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{x_\bullet, x_\bullet^n}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. ■

Recall that for every $\eta, \xi \in \partial X$ and $x \in X$, the Alexandrov angle between ξ and η in x is defined by $\angle_x(\xi, \eta) = \angle_x(c_{x, \xi}(1), c_{x, \eta}(1))$ and the Tits angle between ξ and η by $\angle(\xi, \eta) = \sup_{x \in X} \angle_x(\xi, \eta)$. The Tits angle define a metric on the boundary which is called the *angular metric*.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces, a section $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and two sections $\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.*

(i) *The Alexandrov angle function $\angle_{x_\bullet}(\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet)$ is Borel.*

(iii) *The Tits angle function $\angle_\bullet(\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet)$ is Borel.*

Proof. By definition we have $\angle_{x_\bullet}(\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet) = \angle_{x_\bullet}(c_{x_\bullet, \xi_\bullet}(1), c_{x_\bullet, \eta_\bullet}(1))$ and by [BH99, II.9.8(4)] $\angle_\bullet(\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet) = 2 \arcsin(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \cdot d(c_{x_\bullet, \xi_\bullet}(t), c_{x_\bullet, \eta_\bullet}(t)))$. So we deduce from lemmas 3.3 and 3.6 that these functions are Borel. ■

We turn now to some subfields of the field of metric spaces $(\Omega, (\partial X_\bullet, \angle_\bullet))$. Notice that the latter is not always a Borel field of metric spaces because the topology induced by the angular metric may be not separable. Despite this trouble we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper unbounded CAT(0) spaces and $(\Omega, A_\bullet)$ be a Borel subfield of non empty closed sets - with respect to the conic topology - of $(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.*

(i) *The circumradius - with respect to the angular metric - function $r(A_\bullet)$ is Borel.*

Moreover suppose that $r(A_\omega) < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

(ii) *The section of circumcenters is Borel, i.e. $c_{A_\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.*

Proof. We'll make the proof of (i) in three steps.

(1) For a CAT(0) space X and $x_0 \in X$ define for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the function

$$\begin{aligned} \angle^n : \partial X \times \partial X &\rightarrow [0, \pi] \\ (\xi, \eta) &\mapsto \angle^n(\xi, \eta) := \sup_{t \in [1, n]} \overline{Z}_{x_0}(c_{x_0, \xi}(t), c_{x_0, \eta}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

This increasing sequence of functions verifies $\angle(\xi, \eta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \angle^n(\xi, \eta) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \angle^n(\xi, \eta)$ by [BH99, II.9.8(1)]. If ∂X is endowed with the cone topology, then $\angle^n$ is a continuous function. The argument is as follows. The function $f_n : [1, n] \times (\partial X)^2 \rightarrow [0, \pi]$, $(t, \xi, \eta) \mapsto \overline{Z}_{x_0}(c_{x_0, \xi}(t), c_{x_0, \eta}(t))$ is continuous, hence uniformly continuous. It is easy then to check that the function $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \angle^n(\xi, \eta) = \sup_{t \in [1, n]} f_n(t, \xi, \eta)$ is continuous.

(2) We'll now prove that if $A \subseteq \partial X$ is a non empty closed subset, then we have the equality

$$r(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\xi \in \partial X} \{\max_{\eta \in A} \angle^n(\xi, \eta)\}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed we have

$$r(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \inf_{\xi \in \partial X} \{ \sup_{\eta \in A} \{ \sup_{x \in X} \angle_x(\xi, \eta) \} \} = \inf_{\xi \in \partial X} \{ \sup_{\eta \in A} \{ \sup_{n \geq 1} \angle^n(\xi, \eta) \} \} = \inf_{\xi \in \partial X} \{ \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \sup_{\eta \in A} \angle^n(\xi, \eta) \} \}$$

and by (1) and compactness $r(A) = \inf_{\xi \in \partial X} \{ \sup_{n \geq 1} \{ \max_{\eta \in A} \angle^n(\xi, \eta) \} \}$. Now consider the restriction $\angle^n|_{\partial X \times A}$ which is uniformly continuous by (1) and also the function $\angle^n_{\max} : \partial X \rightarrow [0, \pi]$, $\xi \mapsto \max_{\eta \in A} \{ \angle^n(\xi, \eta) \}$ which is continuous. We'll use the following observation whose proof is not a very difficult exercise.

Observation : Let Y be a compact metrizable space and $\{g_n : Y \rightarrow [a, b]\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of continuous functions. Taking punctual limit gives a function g which is obviously lower semi-continuous. Then $\min g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min g_n$. Moreover if x_n is such that $g_n(x_n) = \min g_n$ then any accumulation point x satisfies $g(x) = \min g$.

We can now easily deduce the formula (3) by applying the first assertion of the observation to the sequence of functions $g_n(\xi) := \angle^n_{\max}(\xi)$, since $r(A) = \min g$.

(3) Finally, if $\xi_., \eta_. \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_.)$, then the function $\angle^n_*(\xi_., \eta_.)$ is Borel for every $n \geq 1$ because on one hand we have by continuity

$$\angle^n_*(\xi_., \eta_.) = \sup_{t \in [1, n] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \bar{Z}_{x_., \xi_., \eta_., t}(c_{x_., \xi_., t}, c_{x_., \eta_., t})$$

and on the other hand since $c_{x_., \xi_., t}, c_{x_., \eta_., t} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_.)$ the function $\bar{Z}_{x_., \xi_., \eta_., t}(c_{x_., \xi_., t}, c_{x_., \eta_., t})$ is Borel by Lemma 3.3. Consequently, if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^0(\Omega, \partial X_.)$ and $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, A_.)$ are fundamental families, then we have

$$\text{rad}(A_.) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\xi_., \eta_. \in \mathcal{D}} \{ \max_{\eta_., \eta_.' \in \mathcal{D}'} \angle^n_*(\xi_., \eta_.) \}$$

and this shows that the function is Borel.

We now undertake the proof of (ii). We'll also make this proof in three steps.

(1) By hypothesis for each $\omega \in \Omega$, the set A_ω has a unique circumcenter c_{A_ω} [BH99, II.9.13 & II.2.7]. Therefore the section $c_{A_., \omega} \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \partial X_.)$ is well-defined.

(2) Observe the following general fact. If $(\Omega, Y_.)$ is a Borel field of compact spaces and $\{f^n_.\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(Y_.)$ is a sequence of continuous morphisms which is increasing (i.e. for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $n \geq 1$ it satisfies $f^{n+1}_\omega \geq f^n_\omega$), bounded (i.e. for each $n \geq 1$ it satisfies $\sup_{n \geq 1} f^n_\omega < \infty$) and such that $f_.* := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n_.$ satisfies $|f_.*^{-1}(\{\min f_.*\})| = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then $f_.*^{-1}(\{\min f_.*\}) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_.)$. Indeed if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_.)$ is a fundamental family we have $\min f_.* = \inf_{x_.* \in \mathcal{D}} f_.*(x_.*) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and therefore $(f_.*^{-1}(\{\min f_.*\}))$ is a Borel field of closed subsets - see step 2 of the proof of Proposition 2.20. Consequently we can pick a Borel section $x_.*^n$ in it and - by the observation made in the second step of (i) - $f_.*^{-1}(\{\min f_.*\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_.*^n$ is Borel.

(3) Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_.)$ and $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, A_.)$ be fundamental families. We have seen that

$$\text{rad}(A_.) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \min_{\xi_., \eta_. \in \mathcal{D}} \{ \max_{\eta_., \eta_.' \in \mathcal{D}'} \angle^n_*(\xi_., \eta_.) \} \} = \min_{\xi_., \eta_.' \in \mathcal{D}'} \{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \max_{\eta_., \eta_.' \in \mathcal{D}'} \angle^n_*(\xi_., \eta_.) \} \}.$$

Define $g_.*^n(\xi_.) := \max_{\eta_., \eta_.' \in \mathcal{D}'} \angle^n_*(\xi_., \eta_.)$. We have $g_.*^n \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(\partial X_.)$ and observe that the sequence of morphisms $\{g_.*^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing, bounded and that

$$g_.* := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_.*^n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(\partial X_., \mathbb{R}))$$

is such that

$$g_.*^{-1}(\{\min(g_.*)\}) = \{c_{A_\omega}\} \quad \text{for each } \omega \in \Omega$$

because $\min g_\omega = r(A_\omega)$ and c_{A_ω} is unique. Therefore by step (2) we obtain $c_{A_., \omega} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_.)$. ■

3.2 Limit Sets at Infinity

The goal of this section is to associate a canonical Borel section $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$ to a decreasing sequence $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of Borel subfields of convex, closed, non empty subsets in a field of proper CAT(0) spaces which satisfies the hypothesis of “finite covering dimension”. The section we are looking for is obtained by considering the circumcenter of the Borel field of limit sets at infinity.

Definition 3.9. Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a decreasing sequence of convex, closed, non empty subsets such that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n = \emptyset$. Since the space is proper this assumption is equivalent to the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, \pi_{C_n}(x)) = \infty$ for every $x \in X$. For $x \in X$, we consider

$$L := \overline{\{\pi_{C_n}(x)\}_{n \geq 1}} \cap \partial X = \{\text{accumulation points of } \{\pi_{C_n}(x)\}_{n \geq 1}\}$$

where the closure is taken relatively to the conic topology on $\overline{X}$. Since the projection on a convex sets does not increase the distance, this set is independent of the choose point x . We call this set L the limit set at infinity of the given sequence of subfields.

First we show that this definition is independent of the choice of $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.10. Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ like above. Then we have $\text{diam } L_x \leq \pi/2$ with respect to the angular metric.

Proof. By [BH99, Prop. II.2.4 (3), p. 177] we have

$$\angle_{\pi_{C_n}(x)}(x, \pi_{C_m}(x)) \geq \pi/2$$

if $C_m \subsetneq C_n$ and n is large enough so that $x \notin C_n$. In particular we have $\overline{\angle}_{\pi_{C_n}(x)}(x, \pi_{C_m}(x)) \geq \pi/2$ and thus

$$\overline{\angle}_x(\pi_{C_n}(x), \pi_{C_m}(x)) \leq \pi/2 \quad \text{if } m > n \text{ are large enough so that } x \notin C_n \text{ and } C_m \subsetneq C_n.$$

Consider now any $\xi, \zeta \in L_x \subseteq \partial X$ as well as two subsequences $\{\pi_{C_{n_k}}(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ and $\{\pi_{C_{m_k}}(x)\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\pi_{C_{n_k}}(x) \rightarrow \xi$ and $\pi_{C_{m_k}}(x) \rightarrow \zeta$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$. By [BH99, Lem. II.9.16, p. 286] we conclude that

$$\angle(\xi, \zeta) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\angle}_x(\pi_{C_{n_k}}(x), \pi_{C_{m_k}}(x)) \leq \pi/2$$

if $m_k > n_k$ are like above. ■

The topological condition on X needed to ensure the uniqueness of the circumcenter of a limit set at infinity is the following.

Definition 3.11. The order of a family $\mathcal{E}$ of subsets of a set X is the largest integer n such that the family $\mathcal{E}$ contains $n + 1$ subsets with non empty intersection or ∞ if no such integer exists. If X is a metrizable space it is possible to define the covering dimension (also called Čech-Lebesgue dimension) $\dim(X)$ by the following three steps:

1. $\dim(X) \leq n$ if every finite open cover of X has a finite open refinement of order $\leq n$.
2. $\dim(X) = n$ if $\dim(X) \leq n$ and the inequality $\dim(X) \leq n - 1$ does not hold.
3. $\dim(X) = \infty$ if the inequality $\dim(X) \leq n$ does not hold for any n .

We also define $\dim_C(X) := \sup\{\dim(K) \mid K \subseteq X \text{ compact}\}$ and refer to [Eng89, Chap. 7] for the properties of covering dimension and some equivalent definitions.

Remark 3.12. Some authors refer to $\dim_C(X)$ like the geometric dimension of X (see e.g. [CL10]). Note that a CAT(0) space X such that $\dim_C(X) = 0$ is a singleton and if it satisfies $\dim_C(X) = 1$ it is a $\mathbf{R}$ -tree.

Theorem 3.13 ([FNS06], Thm. 1.7 & Prop. 1.8, p. 309).

- (i) If X is a proper CAT(0) space, then the inequality $\dim_C(\partial X, \angle) \leq \dim(X) - 1$ holds.
- (ii) If Y is a complete CAT(1) space such that $\dim_C(Y) < \infty$ and $\text{diam}(Y) \leq \pi/2$, then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ which only depends on $\dim_C(Y)$ and such that the inequality $\text{rad}(Y) \leq \pi/2 - \delta < \pi/2$ holds. In particular there exists a unique circumcenter c_Y for Y [BH99, Prop. II.2.7, p. 179].

Consequently the limit set at infinity of a decreasing sequence $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ like above has a unique circumcenter. Indeed if $L \subseteq \partial X$ is the limit set at infinity of such a sequence we have $\text{diam}(L) \leq \pi/2$ by the Lemma 3.10. Since $(\partial X, \angle)$ is a complete CAT(1) space [BH99, Thm. II.9.13, p. 285], the convex hull of L is such that $\text{diam}(\text{co}(L)) = \text{diam}(L) \leq \pi/2$ [LS97, Lem. 4.1, p. 546]. By hypothesis and 3.13 (i) we have $\dim_C(\partial X) < \infty$ and thus $\dim_C(\text{co}(L)) < \infty$. This allows us to apply 3.13 (ii) to the complete CAT(1) space $\text{co}(L)$ to conclude that $\text{rad}(L) \leq \text{rad}(\text{co}(L)) < \pi/2$ and that L has a unique circumcenter.

Proposition 3.14. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces with finite covering dimension and $\{C_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of Borel subfields of convex, closed, non empty everywhere subsets which satisfies, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $C_\omega^n \supseteq C_\omega^{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_\omega^n = \emptyset$.

- (i) The subfield $L_\bullet$ of $\partial X_\bullet$ - where, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, L_ω is the limit set at infinity of the sequence $\{C_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ - is Borel.
- (ii) The section $\xi_\bullet := c_{L_\bullet}$ is Borel.

Proof. (i) Fix a section $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. By definition we have

$$L_\omega = \overline{\{\pi_{C_\omega^n}(x_\omega^0)\}_{n \geq 1}} \cap \partial X_\omega \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega.$$

But $\overline{\{\pi_{C_\bullet^n}(x_\bullet^0)\}_{n \geq 1}}$ is a Borel subfield of $\overline{X_\bullet}$ since $\pi_{C_\bullet^n}(x_\bullet^0) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ for every $n \geq 1$. Consequently since $\overline{X_\bullet}$ is compact the intersection of this Borel subfield with $\partial X_\bullet$ is Borel by Proposition 2.20. We conclude that $L_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield of $\partial X_\bullet$ by using the Remark 2.9 (5).

(ii) This follows directly from (i) and the fact that $\text{rad}(L_\omega) < \pi/2$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ by using the Theorem 3.8. ■

Remark 3.15. The previous results also hold for a generalized sequence $\{C_\bullet^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}}$ indexed by $\mathbf{R}$ provided we had the following condition : $C_\omega^\beta = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} C_\omega^\alpha$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. The limit set at infinity is in this case given by $L_\omega = \overline{\{\pi_{C_\omega^\alpha}(x) \}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}}} \cap \partial X_\omega$ and the “continuity” condition is here to ensure that if D is a dense subset of $\mathbf{R}$, then

$$L_\omega = \overline{\{\pi_{C_\omega^\alpha}(x) \}_{\alpha \in D}}.$$

This is used to prove that $L_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield.

3.3 Adams–Ballmann Decomposition

We now turn our attention to the Adams–Ballmann decomposition of a proper CAT(0) space. First we recall the following key definition.

Definition 3.16. Let X be a proper $CAT(0)$ space. A point $\xi \in \partial X$ is called a flat point if the associate Busemann function b_ξ is an affine function. Remark that the set of flat points - denoted by F - is $\text{Isom}(X)$ -invariant.

The boundary of a product $X \times Y$ is isometric (when endowed with the angular metric) to the spherical join of the boundaries, i.e. $\partial X * \partial Y$ (see [BH99, I.5.13] for the definition of the spherical join of two metric spaces and [BH99, II.9.11] for the proof of the result). The following theorem states the existence of what we shall call the Adams–Ballmann decomposition of a $CAT(0)$ space.

Theorem 3.17 ([AB98]). Let X be a proper $CAT(0)$ space. Then there exists a real Hilbert space E , a complete $CAT(0)$ space Y and an isometric map $i : X \rightarrow Z = Y \times E$ such that

- (i) $i(F) = \partial E \cap \partial(i(X)) \subseteq \partial Y * \partial E \simeq \partial Z$ and the set of directions $\{v(i(\xi)) \mid \xi \in F\}$ generates H as a real Hilbert space,
- (ii) the set $Y' := \pi_Y(i(X))$ is convex and dense in Y ,
- (iii) any isometry $\gamma : X \rightarrow X$ extends uniquely in $\tilde{\gamma} : Z \rightarrow Z$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{\gamma}_Y, \tilde{\gamma}_E)$.

It follows from this theorem that the angular and the conic topology on F coincide, that the geometry on F is spherical and that F is closed and π -convex in ∂X . In order to adapt this result in the context of Borel fields of proper $CAT(0)$ spaces we have to observe the following.

Remarks 3.18.

- (1) Let X be a proper $CAT(0)$ space. If D is a dense subset of F , then E is generated by $\{v(i(\xi)) \mid \xi \in D\}$. If $F = \emptyset$, then the decomposition is trivial with $E = \{*\}$ and $Y = C$.
- (2) A careful analysis of the proof of the Theorem 3.17 shows that one can construct the decomposition such that the origin of E is $\pi_E(i(x_0))$ where $x_0 \in X$ is any chosen point.

Lemma 3.19. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper $CAT(0)$ spaces. Then the subfield $F_\bullet$ of $\partial X_\bullet$ - defined by F_ω is the set of flat points of ∂X_ω for every $\omega \in \Omega$ - is a Borel subfield of closed subsets.

Proof. We start by considering a proper $CAT(0)$ space X and x_0 a base point of X . For every positive integer R we introduce the function

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^R : \mathcal{C}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ f &\mapsto \Delta^R(f) := \sup_{z, z' \in B(x_0, R)} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(\gamma_{z, z'}(t)) - (1-t)f(z) - tf(z')| \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\gamma_{z, z'}$ is the geodesic from z to z' . It's straightforward to check that for every positive integer R the function Δ^R is continuous - when $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is endowed with the uniform convergence on compact sets. Note that if $D \subseteq X$ is a dense subset and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, we will obtain the same value for $\Delta^R(f)$ by taking the supremum on $B(x_0, R) \cap D$ and $[0, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}$ because in a $CAT(0)$ space a geodesic varies continuously with its endpoints (see [BH99, II.1.4]). We'll use the functions Δ^R - that measure the lack of affinity of functions in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ on the balls $B(x_0, R)$ - to show that $(\Omega, F_\bullet)$ is a Borel subfield. We fix $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and we define $\overline{\Delta}_\bullet^R \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(\partial X_\bullet))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Delta}_\omega^R : \partial X_\omega &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ \xi &\mapsto \Delta^R(b_{\xi, x_\omega^0}) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, $\overline{\Delta}_\omega^R$ is continuous because it is the restriction of Δ_ω^R on ∂X_ω . By definition of $F_\bullet$ we have that $F_\bullet = \cap_{R \geq 1} (\overline{\Delta}_\bullet^R)^{-1}(\{0\})$ so that by the Proposition 2.15 it remains to show that $(\overline{\Delta}_\bullet^R)^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a Borel subfield. By the second step of the proof of this same proposition, it's enough to show that

$$\overline{\Delta}_\bullet^R \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(\partial X_\bullet)).$$

So we only have to check that $\overline{\Delta}_\bullet^R(\xi_\bullet)$ is a Borel function whenever $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.

For every $R \geq 1$, we pick a fundamental family $\mathcal{D}^R$ of the Borel subfield $B(x_\bullet^0, R)$ of $X_\bullet$. Remark that

$$\overline{\Delta}_\bullet^R(\xi_\bullet) = \sup_{z_\bullet, z'_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^R} \sup_{t \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |b_{\xi_\bullet, x_\bullet^0}(\gamma_{z_\bullet, z'_\bullet}(t)) - (1-t)b_{\xi_\bullet, x_\bullet^0}(z_\bullet) - tb_{\xi_\bullet, x_\bullet^0}(z'_\bullet)|$$

which is therefore Borel because the evaluation $b_{\xi_\bullet, x_\bullet^0}$ on Borel sections of $X_\bullet$ is Borel by definition and since $\gamma_{z_\bullet, z'_\bullet}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ (see the comment after the definition 3.1). ■

Proposition 3.20. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces. For every $\omega \in \Omega$, we consider the Adams–Ballmann decomposition $i_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow Z_\omega = Y_\omega \times E_\omega$. Then there exists Borel structures $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, E_\bullet)$ on the fields $(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ and $(\Omega, E_\bullet)$ such that $i_\bullet : (\Omega, X_\bullet) \rightarrow (\Omega, Z_\bullet)$ is an isometric morphism where the Borel structure on $(\Omega, Z_\bullet)$ is given by⁴ $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet) \times \mathcal{L}(\Omega, E_\bullet)$.*

Proof. We start by defining the Borel structure on $E_\bullet$. Fix $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. By the Remark 3.18 (2), we can choose the decomposition such that the origin of E_ω is $\pi_{E_\omega}(i_\omega(x_\omega^0))$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. We pick $\mathcal{D} := \{\xi_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$ a fundamental family of the Borel subfield $F_\bullet$. By Remark 3.18 (1) the sets $\{v(i_\omega(\xi_\omega^n))\}_{n \geq 1}$ are total in E_ω for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover, if we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\omega$ the scalar product on E_ω , we have that the map $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \omega \mapsto \langle v(i_\omega(x_\omega i^n)), v(i_\omega(\xi_\omega^m)) \rangle_\omega = \cos(\angle(\xi_\omega^n, \xi_\omega^m))$ is Borel since $\angle(\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet)$ is Borel for every $\xi_\bullet, \eta_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, F_\bullet) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$ (cf. Lemma 3.7). So the family $\{\xi_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\Omega, E_\bullet)$ is a fundamental family in the sense of Dixmier [Dix69, p. 145] and so it generates a Borel structure⁵

$$\mathcal{L}(\Omega, E_\bullet) := \{e_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, E_\bullet) \mid \langle e_\bullet, v(i_\bullet(\xi_\bullet^n)) \rangle_\bullet \text{ is a Borel function } \forall n \geq 1\}.$$

We claim that $\pi_{E_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet)) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, E_\bullet)$ for every $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. We know that $i_\omega(\xi_\omega^n) \in \partial E_\omega \subseteq \partial Y_\omega \ast \partial E_\omega = \partial Z_\omega$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Thus, by the classical descriptions of Busemann functions in a product and in a Hilbert space (keep in mind here that $i_\omega(x_\omega^0)$ is the origin of the Hilbert space E_ω), we have that

$$\langle \pi_{E_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet)), v(i_\bullet(\xi_\bullet^n)) \rangle_\bullet = -b_{i_\bullet(x_\bullet^0), i_\bullet(\xi_\bullet^n)}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet)) = -b_{x_\bullet^0, \xi_\bullet^n}(x_\bullet).$$

The last function being Borel (cf. Remark 3.5) we've therefore proven the claim.

Now we can deal with the structure on $Y_\bullet$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{x_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a fundamental family of the field $X_\bullet$. Then $\{\pi_{Y_\omega}(i_\omega(x_\omega^n))\}_{n \geq 1}$ is dense in Y_ω for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover

$$d_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet^n)), \pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet^m))) = \sqrt{d_{X_\bullet}(x_\bullet^n, x_\bullet^m)^2 - d_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet^n)), \pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet^m)))^2}$$

is a Borel function for every $n, m \geq 1$. By the Example 2.5 (5) the family $\{\pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet^n))\}_{n \geq 1}$ defines a Borel structure $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ on $(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$. As before we can easily show that if $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, then $d_{Y_\bullet}(\pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet^n)), \pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet)))$ is Borel for every $n \geq 1$, i.e. $\pi_{Y_\bullet}(i_\bullet(x_\bullet)) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$.

Therefore there exists Borel structures $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, Z_\bullet)$ such that $i_\bullet(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, Y_\bullet) \times \mathcal{L}(\Omega, Z_\bullet)$ for every $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, i.e. $i_\bullet$ is a morphism. ■

⁴It can be easily seen to be a Borel structure on $(\Omega, Z_\bullet)$.

⁵It is a structure in a Hilbert sense, but it's easy to see that it's a particular case of a Borel structure on a field of metric spaces.

There is two important subsets of F that are used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 : the subset $A := \{\xi \in F \mid -\xi \in F\}$ - which is well defined since the geometry of F is spherical - and $P := \{\xi \in F \mid \angle(\xi, A) = \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. Observe that these subsets are closed and π -convex, that if we decompose X with respect to A then we have $X \simeq Y \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and that $P = \emptyset$ if and only if $A = F$.

Lemma 3.21. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper $CAT(0)$ spaces. Then the subfields $A_\bullet$ and $P_\bullet$ of $F_\bullet$ are Borel.*

Proof. Recall that $F_\omega \subseteq \partial E_\omega$ and observe that ∂E_ω can be interpreted (topologically) as the unit sphere of $E_\bullet$. From Proposition 3.20 we have that $F_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield of $\partial E_\bullet$. Since E_ω is a Hilbert space, we can consider $-F_\omega \subseteq \partial E_\omega \subseteq E_\omega$ and it's easy to get convinced that $F_\omega \cap (-F_\omega) = A_\omega$. As $-F_\bullet$ is obviously a Borel subfield of compact subsets of $E_\bullet$, then $A_\bullet$ is a Borel subfield of $F_\bullet$ by Proposition 2.20. Thus $\angle_\bullet(\cdot, A_\bullet) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(F_\bullet))$. Therefore - by the second step of the proof of this same proposition - $P_\bullet = \angle_\bullet(\cdot, A_\bullet)^{-1}(\{\pi/2\})$ is also a Borel field of closed subsets of $F_\bullet$. ■

4 Actions of Equivalence Relations

4.1 Definition

In the sequel $[\mathcal{R}]$ denotes the full group of $\mathcal{R}$, i.e. the group of Borel automorphisms of Ω whose graphs are contained in $\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a standard Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of metric spaces and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be a Borel equivalence relation. An action of $\mathcal{R}$ on $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is given by a family of bijective maps indexed by $\mathcal{R}$ denoted by $\{\alpha(\omega, \omega') : X_\omega \rightarrow X_{\omega'}\}_{(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}}$ with the following conditions.*

(i) [Cocycle rule] *For every $(\omega, \omega'), (\omega', \omega'') \in \mathcal{R}$, $\alpha(\omega', \omega'') \circ \alpha(\omega, \omega') = \alpha(\omega, \omega'')$.*

Observe that condition (i) implies the existence of a natural action of $[\mathcal{R}]$ on $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$: if $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ and $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, we can define a new section $gx_\bullet$ by $(gx_\bullet)_\omega = \alpha(g^{-1}\omega, \omega)x_{g^{-1}\omega}$. As we are in the Borel context, we'll also require:

(ii) *The set $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is invariant under the action of $[\mathcal{R}]$.*

We denote such an action by $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, X_\bullet)$.

If moreover for all $(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}$ the map $\alpha(\omega, \omega')$ is continuous (resp. isometric or linear) we say that $\mathcal{R}$ acts by homeomorphisms (resp. by isometries or linearly).

4.2 Basic Properties

If $\mathcal{R}$ acts by homeomorphisms it is straightforward to see by using the Lemma 2.4 that the Condition (ii) is equivalent to $g(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ for all $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ for any fundamental family $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. By using classical technics of decompositions and gluings, it's also possible to prove that it's enough to check condition (ii) for every element of a countable group $G \subseteq [\mathcal{R}]$ such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_G$. Observe also that $[\mathcal{R}]$ acts on the set of subfields of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ in total analogy with its action on the sections.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of metric spaces and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be a Borel equivalence relation which acts on $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ by homeomorphisms. Then $[\mathcal{R}]$ acts on the set of Borel subfields of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Moreover if μ is quasi-invariant under $\mathcal{R}$, then $[\mathcal{R}]$ acts on $L(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and more generally it acts on the equivalence classes of Borel subfields of $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$.*

Proof. Let $A_\bullet$ be a Borel field, $\Omega' := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid A_\omega \neq \emptyset\}$ its base and $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$. To show that the field $gA_\bullet$ defined by $(gA_\bullet)_\omega := \alpha(g^{-1}\omega, \omega)A_{g^{-1}\omega}$ is Borel, we observe that $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid (gA_\bullet)_\omega \neq \emptyset\} = g\Omega' \in \mathcal{A}$ and that if $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega', A_\bullet)$ is a fundamental family of $A_\bullet$, then $g(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(g\Omega', gA_\bullet)$ satisfies 2.8 (ii). Under the hypothesis of quasi-invariance of μ , if $A_\bullet =_{\mu\text{-a.e.}} B_\bullet$, then $gA_\bullet =_{\mu\text{-a.e.}} gB_\bullet$, since the set $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid (gA_\bullet)_\omega = (gB_\bullet)_\omega\} = g\{\omega \in \Omega \mid A_\omega = B_\omega\}$ is of measure null. ■

Definition 4.3. Under the hypothesis of the Proposition 4.2, a section $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is called $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant (or simply invariant whenever the relation can clearly be identified) if $\alpha(\omega, \omega')x_\omega = x_{\omega'}$ for all $(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}$. We say that a section $x_\bullet$ is almost $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant if it exists a Borel set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) = 1$ such that the equality holds for all $(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R} \cap A^2$. Mutatis mutandis we define $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant and almost $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant Borel subfields. We'll also use the terminology (almost) α -invariant whenever it is necessary to be more precise.

Remark 4.4. It's straightforward to check that a Borel section $x_\bullet$ (or a Borel subfield) is (almost) $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant if and only if it is (almost) $[\mathcal{R}]$ -invariant. Therefore the almost invariance of a Borel section $x_\bullet$ (or of a Borel subfield) is equivalent to the invariance of its class $[x_\bullet] \in L(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. The existence of a countable group generating $\mathcal{R}$ allows us to always assume that the set A of the definition 4.3 is invariant. On the other hand if $(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$ is an invariant Borel subfield, then the action on $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ induced an action on $(\Omega, Y_\bullet)$. Putting it all together, it shows that - in the measure context - we can always assume without losing generality that an almost invariant Borel subfield is invariant.

We now show that an action of $\mathcal{R}$ on a Borel field of proper metric spaces $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ naturally gives rise to an action on the previously constructed Borel field $(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a standard Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper metric spaces and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be a Borel equivalence relation. Suppose that an action $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, X_\bullet)$ by homeomorphisms is given. Then there exists an induced action $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ by linear homeomorphisms.

Proof. The natural way to define the action is to write for $(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega') : \mathcal{C}(X_\omega) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X_{\omega'}) \\ f_\omega &\mapsto f_\omega \circ \alpha(\omega', \omega). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega')$ is a homeomorphism (with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets) because a homeomorphism between Hausdorff spaces preserves compact sets. The cocycle rule is obvious so it only remains to check the Condition 4.1 (ii), i.e. that if $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ and $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$, then $gf_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$. To do so, we fix $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and we observe that

$$(gf_\bullet)_\omega(x_\omega) = f_{g^{-1}\omega}(\alpha(\omega, g^{-1}\omega)(x_\omega)) = f_{g^{-1}\omega}(g^{-1}(x_\bullet)_{g^{-1}\omega}).$$

Consequently we have $gf_\bullet(x_\bullet) = (f_\bullet(g^{-1}x_\bullet)) \circ g^{-1}$ and this shows that the evaluation $(gf_\bullet)(x_\bullet)$ is Borel because $g^{-1}x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ (since α is an action) and $f_\bullet(g^{-1}x_\bullet)$ is Borel by definition of the Borel structure of the Borel field $(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$. So we can conclude that $gf_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$. ■

Moreover if the field is a field of CAT(0) spaces then the action extends to $(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper unbounded CAT(0) spaces, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be a Borel equivalence relation and an action $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, X_\bullet)$ by isometries. Then there exists an induced action $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, \bar{X}_\bullet)$ by homeomorphisms and $(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$ is invariant.

Proof. Let X_1 and X_2 be two proper unbounded CAT(0) spaces and $\gamma : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ an isometry. If we think to the boundary as the quotient of the geodesic rays then the extension $\tilde{\gamma} : \overline{X}_1 \rightarrow \overline{X}_2$ is purely geometric and is a homeomorphism such that $\tilde{\gamma}(\partial X_1) = \partial X_2$ [BH99, II.8.9]. As we used the notion of Busemann functions to define the Borel structure of the field of boundaries, we need to transpose the situation to this context. If $x_i \in X_i$ are base points of X_i for $i = 1, 2$ then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_0 : \mathcal{C}_0(X_1) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(X_2) \\ f &\mapsto \tilde{\gamma}_0(f) : y \mapsto f(\gamma^{-1}y) - f(\gamma^{-1}x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

is a homeomorphism and it is such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_0(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_0} & \mathcal{C}_0(X_2) \\ \uparrow i_1 & & \uparrow i_2 \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X_2 \end{array}$$

commutes. It's easy to check that the two extensions coincide and that if $\xi \in \partial X_1$ then $\tilde{\gamma}_0(b_{x_1, \xi}) = b_{x_2, \tilde{\gamma}(\xi)}$.

Now let's turn to the case of fields. Given a fixed section $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, we use (i) to define for each $(\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega') : \mathcal{C}_0(X_\omega) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(X_{\omega'}) \\ f &\mapsto \alpha(\omega, \omega')(f) : x \mapsto f(\alpha(\omega', \omega)x) - f(\alpha(\omega', \omega)x_\omega^0). \end{aligned}$$

This formula defines an action by homeomorphisms. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 : the verification of the cocycle rule is straightforward, and an easy computation shows that for every $x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$, $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$ and $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}_0(X_\bullet))$ we have

$$(gf_\bullet)(x_\bullet) = (f_\bullet(g^{-1}x_\bullet) - f_\bullet(g^{-1}x_\bullet^0)) \circ g^{-1}.$$

Thus $gf_\bullet$ is Borel. ■

4.3 Amenability

In this section we define the amenability for an equivalence relation in terms of actions on Borel fields of Banach spaces and we also show that our definition is equivalent with the one given originally by ZIMMER.

Definition 4.7. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a field of Banach spaces on Ω . Such a field is called *Borel* if it exists a Borel structure on $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ which is defined in the same way as in the Definition 2.1 with the additional assumption that $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, B_\bullet) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ is a vector space⁶.

We can consider for each $\omega \in \Omega$ the topological dual B_ω^* which is not separable in general. Thus the field $(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ has no chance to satisfy the Definition 4.7 but we still have the following result.

Lemma 4.8. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of Banach spaces. Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) := \{\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) \mid \omega \mapsto \langle \varphi_\omega, x_\omega \rangle := \varphi_\omega(x_\omega) \text{ is Borel for every } x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, B_\bullet)\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ is a vector space which satisfies the following properties.

⁶This definition can be easily seen to be equivalent to the ones given in [FD88, I, p. 77] or [AR00, p. 177], essentially by using the Lemma 2.3.

(i) For every $\varphi_\bullet \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ the function $\omega \mapsto \|\varphi_\omega\|_\omega$ is Borel.

(ii) The space $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ is closed under pointwise limits and Borel gluings.

Moreover if $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ is an equivalence relation and $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, B_\bullet)$ is a linear isometric action, then the fiberwise adjoint maps given by $\alpha_*(\omega, \omega') := (\alpha(\omega', \omega))^* : B_\omega^* \rightarrow B_{\omega'}^*$ satisfy

(iii) the cocycle rule $\alpha_*(\omega', \omega'') \circ \alpha_*(\omega, \omega') = \alpha_*(\omega, \omega'')$ for every $(\omega, \omega'), (\omega', \omega'') \in \mathcal{R}$,

(iv) $g(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ for every $g \in [\mathcal{R}]$.

Thus α_* would be an action in the sense of the Definition 4.1 if the field was a Borel field of metric spaces.

Proof. The properties (i) and (ii) are proved in [AR00, A.3.6 & A.3.7, p. 179], the property (iii) is obvious and the property (iv) can be proved exactly as in Lemma 4.5. ■

Definition 4.9. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of Banach spaces.

(i) Define

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, B_\bullet) := \{x_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, B_\bullet) \mid \|x_\bullet\|_1 := \int_\Omega \|x_\omega\|_\omega d\mu(\omega) < \infty\}$$

and $L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet) := \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, B_\bullet) / \sim_{\mu\text{-a.e.}}$. Then $L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a separable Banach space.

(ii) Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) := \{\varphi_\bullet \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) \mid \|\varphi_\bullet\|_\bullet : \omega \mapsto \|\varphi_\omega\|_\omega \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbf{R})\}.$$

and $\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) := \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) / \sim_{\mu\text{-a.e.}}$. Then $\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ is a Banach space when it is endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ where $\|\varphi_\bullet\|_\infty$ is the ∞ -norm of the function $\|\varphi_\bullet\|_\bullet$.

For a detailed proof of this two assertions - which are close to the ones of the trivial field case - we refer to [And10] or [Hen10].

In this context, the following result holds.

Proposition 4.10 ([AR00], Prop. A.3.9, pp. 179-180). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of Banach spaces. Then there exists an isometric isomorphism between $\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ and $(L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet))^*$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) &\longrightarrow (L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet))^* \\ [\varphi_\bullet] &\longmapsto \langle [\varphi_\bullet], \cdot \rangle : L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ [x_\bullet] &\longmapsto \langle [\varphi_\bullet], [x_\bullet] \rangle = \int_\Omega \langle \varphi_\omega, x_\omega \rangle d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Whenever B is a Banach space we use $B_{\leq 1}$ (resp. $B_{=1}$) to denote the closed ball (resp. sphere) of radius 1. If A is a subset of B^* then $\overline{A}^{w*}$ is the closure of A with respect to the weak-* topology.

Definition 4.11 ([AR00], Def. 4.2.1, p. 97). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of Banach spaces. We say that $(\Omega, C_\bullet)$ is a Borel subfield of convex, weakly-* compact subsets of the field of closed balls of radius 1 in the duals $(\Omega, B_{\leq 1}^*)$ if it exists a family of sections $\{\varphi_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq (\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*))_{\leq 1}$ such that

$$C_\omega = \overline{\text{co}(\{\varphi_\omega^n\}_{n \geq 1})}^{w*} \text{ for } \mu\text{-almost every } \omega \in \Omega$$

where the closure of the convex hull is taken relatively to the weak-* topology. Note that the set $\widetilde{L}(\Omega, C_\bullet) := \{[\varphi_\bullet] \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*) \mid \varphi_\omega \in C_\omega \text{ for } \mu\text{-almost every } \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a convex weak-* closed subset of the unit ball $(\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*))_{\leq 1}$ [AR00, Prop. 4.2.2, p. 97].

Remark 4.12. It can be shown [And10] that there exists $\Omega' \in \mathcal{A}$ of full measure and a family of metrics $\{d_\omega^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega'}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^\infty(\Omega', B_\bullet^*)$ is a Borel structure on the field $(\Omega', (B_{\bullet, \leq 1}^*, d_\bullet^*))$. A Borel subfield of convex, weakly-* compact subsets is then a Borel subfield in the sense of the Definition 2.8.

Historically ZIMMER was the first to introduce a notion of amenability for an equivalence relation. His definition can be formulated as a particular case of the following definition - it corresponds to the case of a trivial field⁷.

Definition 4.13. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ an equivalence relation which preserves the class of μ .

We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is amenable if for every action α of $\mathcal{R}$ by linear isometries on a Borel field of Banach spaces $(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ and almost α_* -invariant Borel subfield of convex, weakly-* compact subsets $(\Omega, C_\bullet)$ of $(\Omega, B_{\bullet, \leq 1}^*)$, there exists a Borel section $\varphi_\bullet \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ which is almost $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant and such that $\varphi_\omega \in C_\omega$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

We'll now prove that hyperfiniteness μ -almost everywhere implies amenability in the sense of the Definition 4.13. Since ZIMMER's amenability is equivalent to almost hyperfiniteness (cf. [CFW81] and [AL91]), this would show the equivalence between all definitions. The reader familiar with the notion of measurable groupoid could also consult [AR00, 4.2.7].

Since we are in the measure theoretic context, we can suppose by hypothesis that there exists a Borel action $\mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright \Omega$ such that $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{Z} = \mathcal{R}$. In analogy with [Zim78, Thm. 2.1] we can define an isometric representation of $\mathbf{Z}$ on $L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet)$ by

$$(T(g)x_\bullet)_\omega = \frac{dg_*(\mu)}{d\mu}(\omega) \cdot \alpha(g^{-1}\omega, \omega)x_{g^{-1}\omega} \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathbf{Z}, x_\bullet \in L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet),$$

where $\frac{dg_*(\mu)}{d\mu} \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative. The adjoint representation $T_* := (T^{-1})^*$ acts on $(L^1(\Omega, B_\bullet))^* \simeq \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$. Given $\varphi_\bullet \in \widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ it is straightforward to see that

$$(T_*(g)\varphi_\bullet)_\omega = \alpha_*(g^{-1}\omega, \omega)\varphi_{g^{-1}\omega}.$$

This means that the adjoint representation is given by the fiberwise adjoint action. Consequently $\mathbf{Z}$ acts by homeomorphisms on $\widetilde{L}^\infty(\Omega, B_\bullet^*)$ with respect to the weak-* topology and thus on $\widetilde{L}(\Omega, C_\bullet)$ because $(\Omega, C_\bullet)$ is supposed to be almost invariant. Since $\mathbf{Z}$ is amenable, there exists a fixed point $[\varphi_\bullet]$ and any representant of the class has the desired property.

In the sequel we'll use the amenability in the following particular context.

Example 4.14. Let K be a compact metric space and consider the space $\mathcal{C}(K)_{\leq 1}^*$ endowed with the weakly-* topology. The application

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : K &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)_{\leq 1}^* \\ x &\mapsto [\delta_x : f \mapsto f(x)] \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image such that $\delta_x \in \mathcal{C}(K)_{=1}^*$. Since $\mathcal{C}(K)_{\leq 1}^*$ is convex and weakly-* compact by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, we have the equality $\mathcal{C}(K)_{\leq 1}^* = \overline{\text{co}(\{\pm \delta_x\}_{x \in K})}^{w*}$ by the Krein-Milman Theorem because the extremal points are $\{\pm \delta_x\}_{x \in K}$. Moreover the bijection

$$\text{Prob}(K) \simeq \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(K)_{\leq 1}^* \mid \varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1 \text{ et } \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(K) (f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(f) \geq 0)\}$$

⁷To show that ZIMMER's definition corresponds to case of a trivial field of Banach spaces, the only thing to check is the equivalence between an action of an equivalence relation on a trivial field (Ω, B) and a Borel cocycle from $\mathcal{R}$ to $\text{Isom}(B)$. This can be easily done by using Corollary 1.2 of [Zim78].

given by the Riesz's representation Theorem allows us to consider the weakly-* compact set of probabilities in the dual and it is well known that $\text{Prob}(K) = \overline{\text{co}(\{\delta_x\}_{x \in K})}^{w*}$.

Now if $D \subseteq K$ is a dense subset, then using the continuity of δ we obtain $\mathcal{C}(K)_{\leq 1}^* = \overline{\text{co}(\{\pm \delta_x\}_{x \in D})}^{w*}$ and $\text{Prob}(K) = \overline{\text{co}(\{\delta_x\}_{x \in D})}^{w*}$.

If $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a standard probability space and $(\Omega, K_\bullet)$ is a Borel field of compact metric spaces, then the preceding results and the introduction of the Borel sections $\{\delta_{x_\bullet}\}_{x_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(K_\bullet)_{\leq 1}^*)$ for a given fundamental family $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, K_\bullet)$ shows that the fields $(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(K_\bullet)_{\leq 1}^*)$ and $(\Omega, \text{Prob}(K_\bullet))$ are Borel fields of convex, weakly-* compact sets in the sense of Definition 4.11. A section $\pi_\bullet$ is Borel if the corresponding section $\varphi_{\pi_\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(K_\bullet)^*)$, i.e. $\varphi_{\pi_\bullet}(f_\bullet)$ is Borel for every $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(K_\bullet))$.

Suppose now that an action $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, K_\bullet)$ by homeomorphisms is given. The lemmas 4.5 and 4.8 allow us to define $\alpha^*(\omega', \omega) := (\tilde{\alpha}(\omega', \omega)^{-1})^*$.

The field $(\Omega, \text{Prob}(K_\bullet))$ is obviously α^* -invariant since if $\mu_{\omega'} \in \text{Prob}(K_{\omega'})$ then $\alpha^*(\omega', \omega)\mu_{\omega'}$ is the image measure $\alpha(\omega', \omega)_*(\mu_{\omega'})$. In particular, if $\mathcal{R}$ is amenable, then by definition there exists a Borel section $[\mu_\bullet] \in \tilde{L}(\Omega, \text{Prob}(K_\bullet))$ which is α^* -invariant.

5 Proof of the Main Theorem

5.1 Fields of Convex Sets and Invariant Sections at Infinity

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space. Given a Borel field $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ of CAT(0) spaces we introduce the following notations:

$$\mathcal{S} := \{[C_\bullet] \mid [C_\bullet] \text{ is an invariant class of Borel subfield of non empty closed convex subsets}\},$$

$$\mathcal{M} := \{[C_\bullet] \in \mathcal{S} \mid (\Omega, C_\bullet) \text{ is minimal for } \leq\},$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space, $\mathcal{R}$ an equivalence relation which quasi-preserves μ , $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ a Borel field of proper metric spaces and an isometric action $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, X_\bullet)$.*

If $\{[C_\bullet^\beta]\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$ is a totally ordered family (i.e. a chain) of $\mathcal{S}$, then there exists a countable family of indices $\{\beta_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that $[C_\bullet^{\beta_{n+1}}] \leq [C_\bullet^{\beta_n}]$ for each $n \geq 1$ and such that $C_\bullet := \cap_{n \geq 1} C_\bullet^{\beta_n}$ satisfies $[C_\bullet] \in \mathcal{S}$ and $[C_\bullet] \leq [C_\bullet^\beta]$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.16 there exists a countable family of indices $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that the Borel subfield

$$C_\bullet := \cap_{n \geq 1} C_\bullet^{b_n}$$

is such that $[C_\bullet] \leq [C_\bullet^\beta]$, $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$. By setting $\beta_n := \min\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ (where the minimum is taken for the induced order by the chain) it follows that $C_\bullet = \cap_{n \geq 1} C_\bullet^{\beta_n}$ satisfies our conditions (the invariance of the class follows from Remark 4.4). $\blacksquare$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a standard probability space, $\mathcal{R}$ an ergodic equivalence relation which quasi-preserves μ , $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ a Borel field of proper unbounded CAT(0) spaces with finite covering dimension. If $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \curvearrowright (\Omega, X_\bullet)$ is an isometric action such that $\mathcal{M} = \emptyset$, then there exists an almost invariant section $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds in two steps.

(i) First we show that under the hypothesis there exists a sequence $\{[C_\bullet^{\beta_n}]\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq [\mathcal{S}]$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (a) $[C_{\bullet}^{\beta_{n+1}}] \leq [C_{\bullet}^{\beta_n}]$ for each $n \geq 1$,
- (b) $[\cap_{n \geq 1} C_{\bullet}^{\beta_n}]$ is the class of the empty subfield⁸.

By the transposition of the Zorn's Lemma there exists a chain $\{[C_{\bullet}^{\beta}]\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{S}$ without lower bound in $\mathcal{S}$. So by the Lemma 5.1 applied to this chain we conclude that the Borel subfield $[C_{\bullet}] := [\cap_{n \geq 1} C_{\bullet}^{\beta_n}]$ is not in $\mathcal{S}$. This means that $\mu(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid C_{\omega} \neq \emptyset\}) \neq 1$. We then show that this set is of measure null. By ergodicity the invariant set⁹ $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid C_{\omega} \neq \emptyset\}$ is of measure one or null. Since the first possibility is impossible, we conclude that $[C_{\bullet}]$ is the class of the empty field.

(ii) Consider the subchain $\{C_{\bullet}^{\beta_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ and the subfield $C_{\bullet}$ given in (i). There exists $\Omega' \in \mathcal{A}$ of measure one such that the inclusion $C_{\omega}^{\beta_n} \supseteq C_{\omega}^{\beta_{n+1}}$ holds and that $C_{\omega} = \emptyset$ for every $\omega \in \Omega'$. So by Proposition 3.14 we can consider the Borel field $(\Omega', L_{\bullet}) \leq (\Omega', \partial X_{\bullet})$ of limit sets at infinity of the subchain and this field has an unique Borel section of circumcenters

$$\xi_{\bullet} := c_{L_{\bullet}} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega', \partial X_{\bullet}).$$

To prove the invariance of $[\xi_{\bullet}]$ it is enough to show that $(\Omega', L_{\bullet})$ is almost-invariant. Recall that for every $\omega \in \Omega'$ and $x \in X_{\omega}$

$$L_{\omega} := \overline{i_{\omega}(\{\pi_{C_{\omega}^{\beta_n}}(x)\}_{n \geq 1})} \cap \partial X_{\omega}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega') L_{\omega} &\stackrel{\tilde{\alpha} \text{ homeo.}}{=} \overline{\tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega') i_{\omega}(\{\pi_{C_{\omega}^{\beta_n}}(x)\}_{n \geq 1})} \cap \partial X_{\omega'} \\ &\stackrel{\tilde{\alpha} \text{ ext. of } \alpha}{=} \overline{i_{\omega'}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')(\{\pi_{C_{\omega}^{\beta_n}}(x)\}_{n \geq 1}))} \cap \partial X_{\omega'} \\ &\stackrel{\alpha \text{ isom.}}{=} \overline{i_{\omega'}(\{\pi_{\alpha(\omega, \omega')(C_{\omega}^{\beta_n})}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')x)\}_{n \geq 1})} \cap \partial X_{\omega'} \\ &\stackrel{\text{inv.}}{=} \overline{i_{\omega'}(\{\pi_{C_{\omega'}^{\beta_n}}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')x)\}_{n \geq 1})} \cap \partial X_{\omega'} = L_{\omega'}. \end{aligned}$$

■

5.2 $\mathcal{R}$ -Quasi-Invariant Sections

Definition 5.3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a standard Borel space, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be a Borel equivalence relation and $(\Omega, X_{\bullet})$ be a Borel field of metrics spaces. Assume that the relation $\mathcal{R}$ acts on $(\Omega, X_{\bullet})$ by isometries. We say that a section $f_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(X_{\bullet}))$ is $\mathcal{R}$ -quasi-invariant (or simply invariant whenever the relation can clearly be identified) if there exists $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega') f_{\omega} := f_{\omega} \circ \alpha(\omega', \omega) = f_{\omega'} + c(\omega, \omega') \quad \text{for every } (\omega, \omega') \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (5)$$

It can easily be checked that c is a cocycle, i.e. $c(\omega, \omega'') = c(\omega, \omega') + c(\omega', \omega'')$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a standard Borel space $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Omega^2$ be a Borel equivalence relation and $(\Omega, X_{\bullet})$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces. Assume that $\mathcal{R}$ acts on $(\Omega, X_{\bullet})$ by isometries. If $f_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_{\bullet}))$ is a quasi-invariant section such that f_{ω} is convex for every $\omega \in \Omega$, then the following assertions are verified.

⁸It is the field $A_{\bullet}$ defined by $A_{\omega} = \emptyset$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

⁹See again Remark 4.4.

(i) The sets

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\inf=-\infty} &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf f_\omega = -\infty\}, \\ \Omega_{\inf>-\infty} &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf f_\omega > -\infty \text{ and is not attained}\}, \\ \Omega_{\min} &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf f_\omega \text{ is attained}\}.\end{aligned}$$

are Borel and invariant.

(ii) The subfield $(f_\bullet|_{\Omega_{\min}} - \min(f_\bullet|_{\Omega_{\min}}))^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a Borel subfield of non empty closed and convex sets of $(\Omega_{\min}, X_\bullet)$ which is $\mathcal{R}|_{\Omega_{\min}}$ -invariant.

(iii) We set $\Omega_{\inf} := \Omega_{\inf=-\infty} \cup \Omega_{\inf>-\infty}$ and we assume that X_ω is of finite covering dimension for every $\omega \in \Omega_{\inf}$. Then there exists a section $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_{\inf}, \partial X_\bullet)$ which is $\mathcal{R}|_{\Omega_{\inf}}$ -invariant.

Proof. (i) The convexity assumption is not necessary to prove this assertion. The section $\inf f_\bullet$ is Borel since by continuity $\inf f_\bullet = \inf_{x_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}} f_\bullet(x_\bullet)$ where $\mathcal{D}$ is a fundamental family and thus $\Omega_{\inf=-\infty} = (\inf f_\bullet)^{-1}(\{-\infty\})$. Now we fix $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$. Because $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ we have

$$\Omega_{\min} = \bigcup_{R \in \mathbb{N}} (\inf f_\bullet|_{B(x_\bullet^0, R)} - \inf f_\bullet)^{-1}(\{0\}) \in \mathcal{A}$$

and thus $\Omega_{\inf>-\infty} = \Omega \setminus (\Omega_{\inf=-\infty} \cup \Omega_{\min}) \in \mathcal{A}$. The invariance of these sets follows directly from the equality (5).

(ii) Since $f_\bullet$ is quasi-invariant we have $\min f_\omega = \min f_{\omega'} + c(\omega, \omega')$ and thus

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega')(f_\omega - \min f_\omega) = f_{\omega'} + c(\omega, \omega') - \min f_\omega = f_{\omega'} - \min f_{\omega'}.$$

Consequently the section $\tilde{f}_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_{\min}, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ defined by $\tilde{f}_\omega := f_\omega - \min f_\omega$ for every $\omega \in \Omega_{\min}$ is $\mathcal{R}|_{\Omega_{\min}}$ -invariant and such that $(\tilde{f}_\bullet)^{-1}(\{0\})$ has the required properties.

(iii) If $\omega \in \Omega_{\inf>-\infty}$ we define $\tilde{f}_\omega := f_\omega - \inf f_\omega$ and $C_\omega^n := (\tilde{f}_\omega)^{-1}([0, 1/n])$. The sequence $\{C_\bullet^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Proposition 3.14 and thus the section of circumcenter of the limit sets at infinity is $\mathcal{R}|_{\Omega_{\inf>-\infty}}$ -invariant. If $\omega \in \Omega_{\inf=-\infty}$ we consider the generalized sequence $\{C_\bullet^\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by $C_\omega^\beta := f_\omega^{-1}([-\infty, -\beta])$ and construct its limit set at infinity $(\Omega_{\inf=-\infty}, L_\bullet)$. It is invariant since

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\omega, \omega')C_\omega^\beta &= \{\alpha(\omega, \omega')x \in X_{\omega'} \mid f_\omega(x) \leq -\beta\} = \{y \in X_{\omega'} \mid f_\omega(\alpha(\omega', \omega)y) \leq -\beta\} \\ &= \{y \in X_{\omega'} \mid f_{\omega'}(y) + c(\omega, \omega') \leq -\beta\} = C_{\omega'}^{\beta+c(\omega, \omega')}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently the section of circumcenters is also $\mathcal{R}|_{\Omega_{\inf=-\infty}}$ -invariant. We conclude the proof by gluing the two sections together. $\blacksquare$

The following proposition is an adaptation of [AB98, Lem. 2.5, p. 192] and is a key step in the proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 5.5. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a Borel space, $(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ be a Borel field of proper CAT(0) spaces, let $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_\bullet)$ and $(\Omega, B_\bullet) \leq (\Omega, \partial X_\bullet)$ be a Borel subfield of closed sets.

(i) Assume that $\pi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \text{Prob}(B_\bullet))$ is fixed. For every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x_\omega^0 \in X_\omega$ we define

$$\begin{aligned}b_\omega : X_\omega &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ x_\omega &\mapsto \int_{B_\omega} b_{x_\omega}(\xi) d\pi_\omega(\xi),\end{aligned}$$

where if $x_\omega \in X_\omega$

$$\begin{aligned}b_{x_\omega} : B_\omega &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ \xi_\omega &\mapsto b_{x_\omega^0, \xi_\omega}(x_\omega).\end{aligned}$$

Then $b_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ and b_ω is convex for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

(ii) If $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \cap (\Omega, X_*)$ acts by isometries such that (Ω, B_*) and π_* are invariant, then the section b_* is quasi-invariant.

Proof. (i) A Busemann function is convex, 1-Lipschitz and likewise is an integral of such functions. In particular b_ω is convex and continuous for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover, by the Riesz's representation Theorem used to define the Borel structure on the field of probabilities $(\Omega, \text{Prob}(B_*))$ (cf. Example 4.14) the evaluation

$$b_*(x_*) = \int_{B_*} b_{x_*}(\xi) d\pi_*(\xi) = \varphi_{\pi_*}(b_{x_*})$$

is Borel since $b_{x_*} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(B_*))$ for every $x_* \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, X_*)$ - see the Remark 3.5.

(ii) This assertion is proved by the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\alpha}(\omega, \omega')b_\omega)(x_{\omega'}) &= b_\omega(\alpha(\omega', \omega)x_{\omega'}) = \int_{B_\omega} b_{x_\omega^0, \xi}(\alpha(\omega', \omega)x_{\omega'}) d\pi_\omega(\xi) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \int_{B_\omega} (b_{\alpha(\omega', \omega)x_{\omega'}^0, \xi}(\alpha(\omega', \omega)x_{\omega'}) - b_{\alpha(\omega', \omega)x_{\omega'}^0, \xi}(x_\omega^0)) d\pi_\omega(\xi) \\ &\stackrel{\alpha \text{ isom.}}{=} \int_{B_\omega} b_{x_{\omega'}^0, \alpha(\omega, \omega')\xi}(x_{\omega'}) d\pi_\omega(\xi) - \int_{B_\omega} b_{x_{\omega'}^0, \alpha(\omega, \omega')\xi}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')x_\omega^0) d\pi_\omega(\xi) \\ &= \int_{B_\omega} b_{x_{\omega'}^0}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')\xi) d\pi_\omega(\xi) - \int_{B_\omega} b_{\alpha(\omega, \omega')x_\omega^0}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')\xi) d\pi_\omega(\xi) \\ &\stackrel{\pi_* \text{ inv.}}{=} \int_{B_{\omega'}} b_{x_{\omega'}^0}(\xi') d\pi_{\omega'}(\xi') - \int_{B_{\omega'}} b_{\alpha(\omega, \omega')x_\omega^0}(\xi') d\pi_{\omega'}(\xi') \\ &= b_{\omega'}(x_{\omega'}^0) - b_{\omega'}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')x_\omega^0) \end{aligned}$$

So the function b_ω is quasi-invariant with $c(\omega, \omega') = b_{\omega'}(\alpha(\omega, \omega')x_\omega^0)$. ■

5.3 Final Proof

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that the assertion (i) is not satisfied. The Theorem 5.2 implies the existence of an almost invariant Borel subfield C_* of closed convex non-empty subsets which is minimal for these properties. Without loss of generality we can assume that this field is invariant - see the Remark 4.4. Let (Ω, F_*) be the Borel subfield of flat points of $(\Omega, \partial C_*)$ and $C_* \hookrightarrow E_* \times Y_*$ the Adams–Ballmann decomposition (see Lemma 3.19 and Proposition 3.20). Let P_* be the Borel subfield of ∂C_* introduced before Lemma 3.21. Define

$$\Omega' := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid P_\omega \neq \emptyset\}$$

which is a Borel and invariant subset of Ω . Since $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic this set is either of full or of null measure. In the first case there exists an invariant section

$$\xi_* \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, P_*) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial C_*) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \partial X).$$

Indeed it is proven in [AB98] that $\text{rad}(P_\omega) < \pi/2$ whenever $P_\omega \neq \emptyset$ and therefore the section of the circumcenters is Borel (cf. Theorem 3.8) and invariant. This contradicts the assumption made at the beginning of the proof.

We can therefore assume that $\Omega \setminus \Omega'$ is of full measure and we won't lose generality if we assume that $\Omega' = \emptyset$. Then $C_\omega = E_\omega \times Y_\omega$ where E_ω is a finite dimensional space and ∂Y_ω doesn't contain flat

points for all $\omega \in \Omega$. The Borel field $\partial Y_\bullet$ is invariant by the property (iii) of the Adams–Ballmann decomposition. We set

$$\Omega'' := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \partial Y_\omega = \emptyset\}$$

which is an invariant and Borel subset of Ω and therefore of full or of null measure. Assume first that it is of full measure. Then $(\Omega'', Y_\bullet)$ is a Borel field of bounded CAT(0) spaces and therefore the section of the circumcenters $c_{Y_\bullet} \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega'', Y_\bullet)$ is Borel and invariant (cf. Lemma 3.2). Thus $(\Omega'', E_\bullet \times \{c_{Y_\bullet}\})$ is a Borel subfield of flats of $C_\bullet$ (and thus of $X_\bullet$) which is invariant. Ergodicity obviously implies that the dimension is essentially constant.

So we can assume that $\Omega'' = \emptyset$. Since the relation is amenable there exists an invariant Borel section of probabilities $\pi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \text{Prob}(\partial Y_\bullet))$. By the Proposition 5.5 the section of convex functions

$$b_\bullet \in \mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(X_\bullet))$$

defined, for $x_\bullet^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, C_\bullet)$ fixed, by

$$b_\omega(x) = \int_{\partial Y_\omega} b_{\xi, x_\omega^0}(x) d\pi_\omega(\xi)$$

is such that $b_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(X_\bullet))$ and that b_ω is convex for every $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover it is quasi-invariant. If we define f_ω to be the restriction of b_ω to C_ω , then $f_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{C}(C_\bullet))$ is again quasi-invariant. Thus by Lemma 5.4 the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\inf} &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf f_\omega \text{ is not attained}\} \\ \Omega_{\min} &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf f_\omega \text{ is attained}\} \end{aligned}$$

are invariant Borel subsets of Ω . By ergodicity one of it has to be of full measure. Let's prove that $\mu(\Omega_{\min}) = 1$ is not possible. In that case, $B_\bullet := (b_\bullet|_{\Omega_{\min}} - \min(b_\bullet|_{\Omega_{\min}}))^{-1}(\{0\})$ would be an invariant Borel subfield of closed convex subsets and - by minimality of $C_\bullet$ - $B_\omega = C_\omega$ would hold for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. This would mean that b_ω is a constant function and that the points of ∂Y_ω in the support of π_ω are flats. This contradicts the construction of Y_ω . Therefore $\Omega_{\inf}$ has to be of full measure. But we have shown in Lemma 5.4 that in this case we can construct an invariant section $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_{\inf}, \partial Y_\bullet)$ and that contradicts the original assumption of the proof. ■

6 Concluding Remarks

It is very likely that our result might hold for any amenable Borel groupoid. At least it has been checked for amenable G -spaces (see [And10] or [Duc11]).

Our result will be used in two forthcoming articles, one from each author.

M. A. , Section de Mathématiques de l'Université de Genève, martin.anderegg@unige.ch

P. H. , École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, philippe.henry@epfl.ch

References

- [AB98] S. ADAMS & W. BALLMANN, *Amenable isometry groups of Hadamard spaces*, Mathematische Annalen 312, 1998, pp. 183-195. Cited on pages 2, 19, 28, and 29.
- [AL91] S. ADAMS & R. LYONS, *Amenability, Kazhdan's Property and Percolation for Trees, Groups and Equivalence Relations*, Israel Journal of Mathematics 75, 1991, pp. 341-370. Cited on page 25.

- [Alv08] A. ALVAREZ, *Une théorie de Bass-Serre pour les relations d'équivalence et les groupoides boréliens*, Thèse, Université de Lyon - Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 2008. Cited on page 6.
- [AR00] C. ANANTHARAMAN-DELAROCHE & J. RENAULT, *Amenable Groupoids*, Monographie de L'Enseignement mathématique 36, Genève, 2000. Cited on pages 6, 23, 24, and 25.
- [And10] M. ANDEREGG, *Moyennabilité et courbure : G-espaces boréliens et espaces $CAT(0)$* , Thèse n° 4790, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, 2010. Cited on pages 3, 24, 25, and 30.
- [Av70] A. AVEZ, *Variétés riemanniennes sans points focaux*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des sciences 270, 1970, pp. 188-191. Cited on page 2.
- [Bal95] W. BALLMANN, *Lectures on Spaces of Nonpositive Curvature*, DMV Seminar 25, Birkhäuser, Bâle, 1995. Cited on pages 3 and 14.
- [BH99] M. R. BRIDSON & A. HEAFLIGER, *Metrics Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1999. Cited on pages 3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 23.
- [BS87] M. BURGER & V. SCHROEDER, *Amenable groups and stabilizers of measures on the boundary of a Hadamard manifold* Mathematische Annalen 276 (3), 1987, pp. 505-514. Cited on page 2.
- [Cas67] C. CASTAING, *Sur les multi-applications mesurables*, Revue française d'informatique et de recherche opérationnelle 1, 1967, pp. 91-126. Cited on page 2.
- [CFW81] A. CONNES, J. FELDMAN & B. WEISS, *An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 1, 1981, pp. 431-450. Cited on page 25.
- [CL10] P-E. CAPRACE & A. LYTCHAK *At infinity of finite-dimensional $CAT(0)$ spaces*, Mathematische Annalen 346 (1), 2010, pp. 1-21. Cited on pages 3 and 18.
- [CV77] C. CASTAING & M. VALADIER, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 580, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1977. Cited on pages 2 and 9.
- [DAP76] C. DELODE, O. ARINO & J.-P. PENOT, *Champs mesurables et multisections*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (Section B) 12 (1), 1976, pp. 11-42. Cited on pages 2 and 5.
- [Dix69] J. DIXMIER, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (Algèbres de Von Neumann)*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, (2ème édition) 1969. Cited on pages 6 and 20.
- [DJK94] R. DOUGHERTY, S. JACKSON & A. S. KECHRIS, *The Structure of Hyperfinite Borel Equivalence Relations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 341(1), 1994, pp. 193-225. Cited on page 4.
- [Doo94] J. L. DOOB, *Measure Theory*, Springer, New York, 1994. Cited on page 10.
- [Duc11] B. DUCHESNE, *Infinite dimensional non-positively curved symmetric spaces of finite rank*, preprint, arXiv:1109.0441, 2011. Cited on pages 3 and 30.
- [Eng89] R. ENGELKING, *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989. Cited on page 17.
- [FD88] J. M. G. FELL, R. S. DORAN, *Representations of *-Algebras, Locally Compact Groups and Banach *-Algebraic Bundles*, Academic Press, Londres, 1988 (deux volumes). Cited on page 23.
- [FM77a] J. FELDMAN & C. MOORE, *Ergodic Equivalence Relations, Cohomology and Von Neumann Algebras* part. I, Transactions of the Mathematical Society 234 (2), 1977, pp. 289-324. Cited on page 4.
- [FM77b] J. FELDMAN & C. MOORE, *Ergodic Equivalence Relations, Cohomology and Von Neumann Algebras* part. II, Transactions of the Mathematical Society 234 (2), 1977, pp. 325-359. Cited on page 4.
- [FNS06] K. FUJIWARA, K. NAGANO & T. SHIOYA, *Fixed point sets of parabolic isometries of $CAT(0)$ -spaces*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 81 (2), 2006, pp. 305-335. Cited on page 18.
- [Gab00] D. GABORIAU, *Coût des relations d'équivalence et des groupes*, Inventiones Mathematicae 139 (1), 2000, pp. 41-98. Cited on page 6.

- [Gil87] J. R. GILES, *Introduction to the Analysis of Metric Spaces*, Australian Mathematical Society, Lecture Series 3, Cambridge University Press, 1987. Cited on page 11.
- [Gro81] M. GROMOV, *Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes*, in Metric structures for Riemann manifolds, Edited by J. Lafontaine and P. Pansu. Textes Mathématiques, CEDIC, Paris, 1981. Cited on page 2.
- [Hen10] PH. HENRY, *Actions de relations d'équivalence sur les champs d'espaces métriques $CAT(0)$* , Thèse n° 4825, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, 2010. Cited on pages 3 and 24.
- [Him75] C. J. HIMMELBERG, *Measurable relations*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 87, 1975, pp. 53-72. Cited on pages 2 and 9.
- [JKL02] S. JACKSON, A. S. KECHRIS & A. LOUVEAU, *Countable Borel Equivalence Relations*, Journal of Mathematical Logic 2 (1), 2002, pp. 1-80. Cited on page 4.
- [Kan08] V. G. KANOVEI, *Borel Equivalence Relations : Structure and Classification*, University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society, 2008. Cited on page 4.
- [Kec95] A. S. KECHRIS, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, New York, 1995. Cited on page 3.
- [KM04] A. S. KECHRIS & B. D. MILLER, *Topics in Orbit Equivalence*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1852, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2004. Cited on page 4.
- [LS97] U. LANG & V. SCHROEDER, *Kirszbraun's theorem and metric spaces of bounded curvature*, Geometric and Functional Analysis 7 (3), 1997, pp. 535-560. Cited on page 18.
- [Mon06] N. MONOD, *Superrigidity for Irreducible Lattices and Geometric Splitting*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 19 (4), 2006, pp. 781-814. Cited on page 12.
- [Ury27] P. URYSOHN, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, Bulletin des sciences mathématiques 51, 1927, pp. 43-64 & pp. 74-90. Cited on page 9.
- [Val78] M. VALADIER, *Sur le plongement d'un champ mesurable d'espaces métriques dans un champ trivial*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (Section B) 14 (2), 1978, pp. 165-168. Cited on page 9.
- [Zim78] R. J. ZIMMER, *Amenable Ergodic Group Actions and an Application to Poisson Boundaries of Random Walks*, Journal of Functional Analysis 27, 1978, pp. 350-372. Cited on page 25.
- [Zim83] R.J. ZIMMER, *Curvature of leaves in amenable foliations*, American Journal of Mathematics 105 (4), 1983, pp. 1011-1022. Cited on page 2.